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Abstract: This research work investigates the numerical solution of ordinary differential equations
eMANATING FROM THE RADIOACTIVE DECAY OF SUBSTANCES USING THE STANDARD AND EXACT
F INITE DIFFERENCE SCHEMES. IT IS ASSUMED THAT THE DECAY CAN BE MODELLED INTO A SIMPLE
FIRST ORDER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION OF THE FORM, \( \frac{dy}{dt} = f(x,y) \) \( y(x_0) = y_0 \). NUMERICAL RESULTS WERE GENERATED WITH THE TWO SCHEMES AND
COMPARISONS WERE MADE BETWEEN THE NUMERICAL RESULTS AND THE THEORETICAL RESULTS.
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INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, many problems in chemical, biological, engineering and physical sciences can be modelled in
the form of ordinary differential equations. One of such cases is the radioactive decay of substances. Effective
methods are usually required to solve such models. We shall consider equation of the form;

\[ \frac{dy}{dt} = f(x,y), \quad y(x_0) = y_0, \quad x \in [a, b] \tag{1} \]

which occurs most often in the fields of chemical and biological sciences.

We shall develop two different schemes for the solution of equation of the form (1) while the second step
is to test the performance of the two schemes in solving a specific equation of the type (1) which arise from
the decay of radioactive substances. The first scheme shall be called the standard numerical scheme; the second
method will be referred to as the exact finite difference scheme.

Before we consider the two schemes, let us see the formular below which is from the Law of Growth and
Decay.

Let \( f(t) \) represent the amount of a quality at a time \( t \). We assume that the rate of change \( f(t) \) is
proportional to \( f(t) \), then, we have the following differential equation,

\[ \frac{d}{dt} f(t) = \lambda f(t) \tag{2} \]

where \( \lambda \) is a constant.

If \( f(t) \) decreases, then, \( \lambda < 0 \) and equation (2) is called the law of natural decay. If \( f(t) \) increases, then \( \lambda > 0 \) and
equation (2) is called the law of natural growth.

Now, given equation (2), we shall derive a formular for \( f(t) \) expressed in terms of \( \lambda, t, \) and \( f(0) \) (the original
amount of the quantity).

\[ f(t) = \frac{d}{dt} f(t) = \lambda f(t) \]

we have,

\[ \frac{f(t)}{f(0)} = \lambda \]
Thus,

\[ \int \frac{f'(t)}{f(t)} \, dt = \int \lambda \, dt \]

\( y = f(t) \), then \( \frac{dy}{dt} = f'(t) \) and so

\[ \int \frac{f'(t)}{f(t)} \, dt = \int \frac{f'(t)}{f(t)} \, \frac{dt}{dy} \, dy \]

\[ = \int \frac{f'(t)}{y} \cdot \frac{1}{f'(t)} \, dy \]

\[ = \int \frac{dy}{y} \]

\[ = \ln y \]

\[ = \ln f(t) \]

Hence, we obtain from (3) the following,

\[ \ln f(t) = \lambda t + c. \]

When \( t = 0 \), we have \( c = \ln f(0) \)

Thus,

\[ \ln f(t) = \lambda t + \ln f(0) \]

\[ \ln f(t) - \ln f(0) = \lambda t \]

\[ \ln \left( \frac{f(t)}{f(0)} \right) = \lambda t \]

\[ \frac{f(t)}{f(0)} = e^{\lambda t} \]

\[ \Rightarrow f(t) = f(0) \, e^{\lambda t} \tag{4} \]

Which says that if the rate of change of a given quantity is proportional to the amount of the quantity at any given instance, then the amount \( f(t) \) at time \( t \) is equal to the product of the original amount \( f(0) \) and \( e^{\lambda t} \), where \( \lambda \) is the constant of proportionality.

**Derivation of the First Scheme:**

Let us assume that the solution to equation (1)
Can be written in the form,

\[ F(x) = be^{bx}, \quad \text{where } b \text{ is a constant} \tag{5} \]

At the point \( x = x_0 \), we have

\[ F(x_0) = be^{bx_0} \tag{6} \]
while at the point \( x = X_{n+1} \), equation (5) becomes,
\[
F(X_{n+1}) = b e^{\beta_{n+1}}
\]  
(7)

Using (5), we have
\[
F'(x) = b \beta e^{\beta x}
\]  
(8)

and
\[
F'(x) = b \beta e^{\beta x}
\]  
(9)

Let us further assume that (9) coincides with (1) and hence we have,
\[
b \beta e^{\beta x} = f(x_n, y_n)
\]
\[
\Rightarrow b = \frac{f(x_n, y_n)}{\beta e^{\beta x}}
\]  
(10)

To proceed further, let us assume that the theoretical and numerical solution initially coincides, hence
\[
F(x_n) = y_n
\]  
(11)

\[
F(x_{n+1}) = y_{n+1}
\]  
(12)

Using (6), (7), (11) and (12), we have,
\[
y_{n+1} - y_n = b (e^{\beta x_n} - e^{\beta x})
\]
\[
\Rightarrow y_{n+1} = y_n + \frac{(e^{\beta x_n} - e^{\beta x}) f(x_n, y_n)}{\beta e^{\beta x}}
\]  
(14)

Which is the required numerical scheme.

It is noteworthy that this numerical method is strong enough to solve not only problems relating to radioactivity but also problems involving biological and other decay related problems in chemistry, biology and other physical sciences.

Equation (14) is a standard finite difference scheme and it is a one-step method.

**Derivative of the Second Scheme:**

Here, we shall derive an exact scheme which will be able to produce exact solution to problems in form of equation (1). The difference between the scheme developed here and that of (14) is that (14) produces approximate solution to equation (1) by exact difference scheme.

Let us consider as ordinary differential equation of the form,
\[
\frac{dy}{dx} = \beta y, \quad y(b) = y_0, \quad b \text{ is a constant}
\]  
(15)

with the theoretical solution given by
\[
y(x) = be^{\beta x}
\]  
(16)

At the point \( x = X_{n+1} \), (16) becomes
\[
y(x_{n+1}) = be^{\beta x_{n+1}}
\]  
(17)

Therefore,
hence,
\[ y_n (be^{\alpha n}) - y_{n+1} (be^{\alpha (n+1)}) = 0 \]
\[ \Rightarrow y_{n+1} (be^{\alpha n}) = y_n (be^{\alpha n}) \]
\[ \therefore y_{n+1} = \frac{y_n (be^{\alpha n})}{(e^{\alpha n})} \]

If we put \( x_n = n \frac{\alpha}{t} \) and \( x_{n+1} = (n + 1) \frac{\alpha}{t} \), equation (19) can then be written as;
\[ y_{n+1} = \frac{y_n (e^{\alpha_n})}{(e^{\alpha_{n+1}})} \]

which is called the exact difference scheme that can be used to solve ordinary differential equations that involve decay.

One of the shortcomings of a standard finite difference scheme is that it is necessary that we must know the theoretical solution before we construct the method. However, the advantage of exact finite difference scheme is that it produces exact solution to the differential equations under consideration.

**Numerical Experiments:**

Now, we shall apply (14) and (20) to the radioactive decay problem below. Considerations will also be given to the performance of the schemes on some closely related problems.

**Examples 1:**

A certain radioactive substance is known to decay at the rate proportional to the amount present. A block of this substance having a mass of 100g originally is observed. After 40 hours, its mass reduces to 90g. Find an expression for the mass of the substance at anytime.

The problem above has a differential equation of the form;
\[ \frac{dN}{dt} = -\lambda N, \quad N(0) = 100 \]

where \( N \) represents the mass of the substance at any time \( t \) and \( \lambda \) is a constant which specifies the rate at which these particular substance decay.

Thus, the theoretical solution to equation (21) is given by,
\[ N(t) = 100e^{-0.0026t} \]

Hence, (22) is the expression for the mass of the substance at any time \( t \).

Note: To show that (22) is the theoretical solution of the problem above, we proceed, thus
\[ f(0) = 100g, \quad t = 40 \text{ hours}. \quad f(40) = 90 \]

From equation (4), we have
\[ f(t) = f(0)e^{\lambda t} \]
\[ 90 = 100e^{40 \lambda} \]
Hence, the required expression is

\[ f(t) = 100e^{-0.0026t} \]

which can equally be written in the form (22) as \( N(t) = 100e^{-0.0026t} \).

Definitely, equation (21) is of the general form (1). With a uniform step length \( h=0.1 \), we obtain the following results.

**Table 1:** Scheme (14) with \( h=0.1 \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S/N</th>
<th>( x )</th>
<th>( y' )</th>
<th>Exact</th>
<th>Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.0000000000</td>
<td>1.0000000000</td>
<td>0.0000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.9997400338</td>
<td>0.9997400338</td>
<td>0.0000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.9994801352</td>
<td>0.9994801352</td>
<td>0.0000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.9992203041</td>
<td>0.9992203041</td>
<td>0.0000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.998960541</td>
<td>0.998960541</td>
<td>0.0000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.998700846</td>
<td>0.998700846</td>
<td>0.0000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.9984412162</td>
<td>0.9984412162</td>
<td>0.0000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.9981816552</td>
<td>0.9981816552</td>
<td>0.0000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.9979221617</td>
<td>0.9979221617</td>
<td>0.0000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9976627357</td>
<td>0.9976627357</td>
<td>0.0000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.9974033771</td>
<td>0.9974033771</td>
<td>0.0000000000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2:** Scheme (20) with \( h=0.1 \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S/N</th>
<th>( x )</th>
<th>( y' )</th>
<th>Exact</th>
<th>Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.0000000000</td>
<td>1.0000000000</td>
<td>0.0000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.9997400338</td>
<td>0.9997400338</td>
<td>0.0000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.9994801352</td>
<td>0.9994801352</td>
<td>0.0000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.9992203041</td>
<td>0.9992203041</td>
<td>0.0000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.998960541</td>
<td>0.998960541</td>
<td>0.0000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.998700846</td>
<td>0.998700846</td>
<td>0.0000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.9984412162</td>
<td>0.9984412162</td>
<td>0.0000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.9981816552</td>
<td>0.9981816552</td>
<td>0.0000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.9979221617</td>
<td>0.9979221617</td>
<td>0.0000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9976627357</td>
<td>0.9976627357</td>
<td>0.0000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.9974033771</td>
<td>0.9974033771</td>
<td>0.0000000000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Schemes (14) and (20) are specially designed to solve the problem that represents the radioactive decay of substances. We may wish to apply the schemes to some selected problems which are also stated below.

**Example 2:**

Consider the differential equation of the form:

\[ y' = y, \quad y(0) = 1, \quad x \in [0,1] \]

The theoretical (exact) solution is given by

\[ y(x) = e^x \]

We apply scheme (14) to solve the above problem and the result is as presented below in a graphical form.
Furthermore, we can also construct exact scheme for problem (2) once the exact solution is known. This is given as:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  y_n & e^{x_n} \\
  y_{n+1} & e^{x_{n+1}}
\end{bmatrix} = 0
\]

\[y_n (e^{x_n}) - y_{n+1} (e^{x_{n+1}}) = 0\]

Thus,

\[y_{n+1}(e^{x_{n+1}}) = y_n(e^{x_n})\]

\[\Rightarrow y_{n+1} = \frac{y_n(e^{x_n})}{(e^{x_{n+1}})}\]

The exact scheme (24) is implemented using \(x_n = nh\), \(x_{n+1} = (n+1)h\) and the result is as given below.
Consider the differential equation of the form

\[ y' = 4x - 2xy, \quad y(0) = 3, \quad x \in [0,1] \]

The theoretical (exact) solution is given by

\[ y(x) = 2 + e^{-x} \]

We now apply scheme (14) to solve the above problem 3 and the result is as presented below in a graphical form.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S/N</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>y'</th>
<th>EXACT</th>
<th>ERROR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3.000000000</td>
<td>3.000000000</td>
<td>0.000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>3.000000000</td>
<td>2.990049834</td>
<td>0.009950166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.978965816</td>
<td>2.960789439</td>
<td>0.018176377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.937782323</td>
<td>2.913931185</td>
<td>0.023851138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.876605866</td>
<td>2.852143789</td>
<td>0.026462077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.804682838</td>
<td>2.778007833</td>
<td>0.025882055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2.720053605</td>
<td>2.697676326</td>
<td>0.020285973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.629179167</td>
<td>2.612626394</td>
<td>0.016552773</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2.536539276</td>
<td>2.527292424</td>
<td>0.009245852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>2.446253951</td>
<td>2.444858066</td>
<td>0.001395885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.37774663</td>
<td>2.367879441</td>
<td>0.00985222</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2: Graphical Representation of Exact Scheme (24) on Problem (2)
We proceed by constructing an exact scheme for problem (3) once the exact equation is known. Thus,

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
 y_n \\
 y_{n+1}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
 2 + e^{-x_i} \\
 2 + e^{-x_{i+1}}
\end{bmatrix} = 0
\]

(25)

i.e.

\[
y_n (2 + e^{-x_i}) - y_{n+1} (2 + e^{-x_{i+1}}) = 0
\]

\[\Rightarrow y_{n+1} (2 + e^{-x_{i+1}}) = y_n (2 + e^{-x_i})
\]

We have

\[\Rightarrow y_{n+1} = \frac{y_n (2 + e^{-x_i})}{(2 + e^{-x_{i+1}})}
\]

(26)

The exact scheme (26) is implemented using \( x_n = n \cdot h \), \( x_{n+1} = (n+1) \cdot h \) and the result is as given below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S/N</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>( h )</th>
<th>EXACT</th>
<th>ERROR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3.000000000</td>
<td>3.000000000</td>
<td>0.000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2.990049834</td>
<td>2.990049834</td>
<td>0.000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.960789439</td>
<td>2.960789439</td>
<td>0.000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.913931185</td>
<td>2.913931185</td>
<td>0.000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.852143789</td>
<td>2.852143789</td>
<td>0.000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.778800783</td>
<td>2.778800783</td>
<td>0.000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2.697676326</td>
<td>2.697676326</td>
<td>0.000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.612626394</td>
<td>2.612626394</td>
<td>0.000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2.527292424</td>
<td>2.527292424</td>
<td>0.000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>2.444858066</td>
<td>2.444858066</td>
<td>0.000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.367879441</td>
<td>2.367879441</td>
<td>0.000000000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusion:
Two stable numerical schemes have been produced, namely the standard and exact finite difference schemes. We have also tested our methods on some problems which are common in radioactivity. The two methods performed well on the problems and the methods are seen to be numerically stable.
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