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Abstract: In this paper, the Fourier method and the Levy's solution are used to obtain solutions to the shearing force stochastic response of concrete plates with uncertain parameters. Up to now, the geometric and material parameters were main uncertain parameters for the analysis of response variability for sinusoidal distributed loaded plates. However, since the load is another parameter influencing the behavior of concrete plates, the independent evaluation of response variability due to the randomness in loading is also given. Furthermore, if the concern is studying the uncertainty in geometric parameters, the influence of the uncertainty in all geometric parameters, not only thickness, have to be investigated. A numerical application generating random values has been used to obtain stochastic analysis which has the advantage of the simplicity. Through the results, it becomes possible to deal with all uncertain parameters in concrete plates. Results show that there are qualitatively similarity between stochastic and deterministic responses. Also, dispersion coefficients have been investigated. It is clear from results that even though there is qualitative likeness in both deterministic and stochastic shearing force distribution but, there are differences between the changes intensity in minimum, mean and maximum shearing force distribution. For example, the changes intensity for mean value in x direction is 36667 for each meter. While, it is 33333 for minimum shearing force distribution.
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INTRODUCTION

When engineering systems are taken into account within the framework of numerical tools, the assumption that these systems have deterministic parameters is implicitly made. Thus, the system parameters assume as constant values over the system domain. However, in real plate structures, the properties have several uncertainties.

Due to advances in computational mechanics, numerical and computational methods there are tremendous developments in the modeling of the structural behaviors with uncertainties as unavoidable part of them.

Uncertainty in system parameters and system responses have been evaluated by strong efforts to develop computational methods (Schuëller, 1997). The incorporation of uncertainty in structural analysis has been advocated by Freudenthal and others in sixties (Freudenthal et al., 1996). Transformation or fast probability integration methods have been used to analyze probabilistic structural (Cruse et al., 1988). Many earlier works were based on stochastic finite element methods and perturbation approach, which were applied to plate problems (Hisada and Nakagiri, 1981; Vanmarcke et al., 1986; Liu et al., 1986; Lawrence, 1987).

Up to now, many researches about geometrical parameters (Nieuwenhof and Coyette, 2003; Choi and Noh, 1996; Choi and Noh, 2000; Graham and Deodatis, 2001 and Stefanou and Papadrakakis, 2004) and temporal uncertainties (Choi and Noh, 1996; Falsone and Impollonia, 2002) have been performed. However, the uncertainties have been mainly focused on characteristic constants of material, such as elasticity modulus (Choi and Noh, 1993; Graham and Deodatis, 1998; Zhu et al., 2001; Vanmarcke and Grigoriu, 1983; Butcher and Shinozuka, 1988; Deodatis and Shinozuka, 1989; Impollonia and Sofi, 2003; Shinozuka and Deodatis, 1988) and Poisson's ratio (Chun, 2004).

Even though some research works consider these parameters but, this is attributed to the fact that loading is one of the most important parameters which it's uncertainty is not really negligible. Therefore, considering the effect of randomness in loading is also required.

Corresponding Author: Zaniar Tokmechi, Department of Civil Engineering, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Kordestan, Iran.
TEL:+98-918-873-1933, FAX: +98-871-3229437,
E-mail: Z.TOKMECHI@GMAIL.COM
Practically, one of the most crude and simple methodologies in load modeling is the Fourier method, which is also used by Navier's solution. As accepted generally, Navier's solution is useful for solving different kinds of loading conditions and analyzing the response of plate structures.

Considerable safety of plate structures such as buildings floors and vessels (Khan et al., 2010; Shariati et al., 2008; Sezar et al., 2010) adds the study of risky. In the present study, along uncertainty in geometrical and material properties, uncertainty in loading conditions has been also considered and analytical findings for stochastic shearing force response are presented.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bending of plates:

In the plate structures, the plate response can be obtained by solving the Lagrange differential equation1.

\[
\frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial x^4} + 2 \frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial x^2 \partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial y^4} = \frac{q(x, y)}{D}
\]  

where, \( q(x, y) \) and \( D \) denote loading function and flexural rigidity, respectively. Flexural rigidity for a rectangular plate is given by Eq. 2.

\[
D = \frac{Et^3}{12(1-\nu^2)}
\]  

where, \( E \) is the elasticity modulus, \( t \) is the plate thickness and \( \nu \) is the Poisson's ratio.

Bending and twisting moments can be also given by Eq.3, 4 and 5.

\[
M_x = -D \left( \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} + \nu \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y^2} \right)
\]  

\[
M_y = -D \left( \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y^2} + \nu \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \right)
\]  

\[
M_{xy} = -D \left( 1-\nu \right) \left( \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x \partial y} \right)
\]

In the plate structures, if the attention is finding the plate response using Levy's solution, the total shearing force of the plate structure can be obtained from Eq. 6.

\[
W = W_h + W_p
\]

in which \( W_h \) can be given by Eq. 7.

\[
W_h = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left( A_m \sinh \frac{m\pi y}{a} + B_m \cosh \frac{m\pi y}{a} + C_{m,y} \sin \frac{m\pi y}{a} + D_{m,y} \cosh \frac{m\pi y}{a} \right) \sin \frac{m\pi x}{a}
\]

where, \( A_m, B_m, C_m \) and \( D_m \) can be achieved using boundary conditions of the plate.

In Eq. 6, \( W_p \) can be given by Eq. 8.

\[
W_p = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} k_m(\gamma) \sin \frac{m\pi x}{a}
\]

in which \( k_m(\gamma) \) can be obtained from the solving the differential equation giving by Eq. 9.

\[
\frac{d^4 k_m}{dy^4} - 2 \left( \frac{m\pi}{a} \right)^2 \frac{d^2 k_m}{dy^2} + \left( \frac{m\pi}{a} \right)^4 k_m = \frac{q_m(\gamma)}{D}
\]

where, \( q_m(\gamma) \) depends on the loading function and is given by Eq. 10.
In this study, a plate structure under sinusoidal distributed loading has been considered. Figure 1 shows the plate model, which is a plate under sinusoidal distributed loading.

Fig. 1: Model of plate structure

**Stochastic Numerical Application:**

Variation in geometric parameters, material properties and loading affect the uncertainty in response of plate structures. In order to study the stochastic response of plate structures, the elasticity modulus $E$, Poisson's ratio $\nu$, plate thickness $t$, plate dimensions and loading condition $q(x, y)$ were modeled as random variables. Each random variable is modeled as Eq. 11.

$$Z = \mu_z (1 + \nu \alpha_z)$$

where, $\mu_z$ is the mean value, $\alpha_z$ is a set of random numbers with a zero mean and $\nu$ is the coefficient of variation for the random variable.

In the stochastic calculation of the response resulting from the variability of the plate structure variables, the coefficients of variation, $\nu_z$, were assumed to be equal to 0.025 for the plate dimensions and the load. However, for the reason that the other variables including elasticity modulus, Poisson's ratio and thickness are more uncertain, the coefficients of variation for these variables were assumed to be equal to 0.05.

Even though this numerical stochastic method requires a large number of randomly generated values for the parameters but, the method has the advantage of the simplicity. Moreover, using this method it is possible to study the outputs statistically and also, mean values, standard deviation and other statistical parameters can be easily obtained.

**Plates under Sinusoidal Loading:**

If the sinusoidal load distribution is given by Eq. 12:

$$q = q_0 \sin \left(\frac{m \pi x}{a} \sin \frac{n \pi y}{b}\right)$$

where, $m$ and $n$ are integer numbers, we shall obtain for the shearing force the following expression.

$$W(x, y) = \frac{q_0}{\pi^4 D} \left(\frac{m^2}{a^2} + \frac{n^2}{b^2}\right) \sin \left(\frac{m \pi x}{a} \sin \frac{n \pi y}{b}\right)$$

from which the expressions for bending moments, twisting moments and shearing forces can be obtained by Eq. 14 to Eq. 18.

$$M = \frac{q_0}{\pi^4} \left(\frac{1}{a^2} + \frac{\nu}{b^2}\right) \left(\frac{1}{a^2} + \frac{\nu}{b^2}\right) \sin \left(\frac{m \pi x}{a} \sin \frac{n \pi y}{b}\right)$$
\[ M_y = \frac{q_0}{\pi^2} \left( \frac{1}{a^2} + \frac{1}{b^2} \right)^2 \left( \frac{1}{a^2} + \frac{1}{b^2} \right)^2 \sin \frac{m \pi x}{a} \sin \frac{n \pi y}{b} \]  

(15)

\[ M_{xy} = \frac{q_0 (1 - \nu)}{\pi^2 ab} \cos \frac{m \pi x}{a} \cos \frac{n \pi y}{b} \]  

(16)

\[ Q_x = \frac{q_0}{\pi a} \left( \frac{1}{a^2} + \frac{1}{b^2} \right) \cos \frac{m \pi x}{a} \sin \frac{n \pi y}{b} \]  

(17)

\[ Q_y = \frac{q_0}{\pi a} \left( \frac{1}{a^2} + \frac{1}{b^2} \right) \sin \frac{m \pi x}{a} \cos \frac{n \pi y}{b} \]  

(18)

The value set of the variables for the model are taken in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters (random variables)</th>
<th>Mean values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elasticity modulus, ( E ) (GPa)</td>
<td>15, 20, 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson’s ratio, ( \nu )</td>
<td>0.15, 0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plate width, ( a ) (m)</td>
<td>3, 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plate length, ( b ) (m)</td>
<td>3, 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plate thickness, ( t ) (cm)</td>
<td>10, 15, 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load, ( q ) (kN)</td>
<td>10, 15, 25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Some stochastic responses for the concrete plate under sinusoidal distributed load are shown in Fig. 2 to Fig. 4.

**Table 1:** Set of parameters
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**Fig. 2:** Minimum dispersion of the shearing force along x axis, \( E=25 \) GPa, \( t=15 \) cm, \( q=15 \) kN, \( \nu=0.17 \)

Fig. 2 to Fig. 4 indicate that stochastic and deterministic responses are qualitatively similar. However, the intensity changes are different for mean and dispersion values. Table 2 shows the coefficients of intensity for different responses when sinusoidal distributed loading is considered.
Fig. 3: Means value of the shearing force along x axis, (E=25 GPa, t=15 cm, q=15 kN, v=0.17)

Fig. 4: Maximum dispersion of the shearing force along x axis, (E=25 GPa, t=15 cm, q=15 kN, v=0.17)

It is clear from Table 2 that for a plate under sinusoidal distributed load the dispersion coefficients for shearing force is equal to 0.091. Table 2 shows that shearing force is sensitive to the uncertainty in parameters and it is vary from (mean-0.091*mean) to (mean+0.091*mean).

Fig.2 to 4 indicate that there are similarity between stochastic and deterministic shearing force, qualitatively. For example, the plate shearing force reaches the maximum value at the edges of the plate and also, the total shearing force is symmetrically distributed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Intensity direction</th>
<th>Changes intensity</th>
<th>Proportion to the mean intensity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Qx</td>
<td>Both x, y</td>
<td>33333 36667 40000</td>
<td>0.910 1 1.091</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is clear from figures that even though there is qualitative likeness in both deterministic and stochastic shearing force distribution but, there are differences between the changes intensity in minimum, mean and maximum shearing force distribution. For example, the changes intensity for mean value in x direction is 36667
for each meter. While, it is 33333 for minimum shearing force distribution.

In general, the changes intensities take the values of 33333, 36667 and 40000 for minimum, mean and maximum shearing force, respectively.

According to Figures, the stochastic responses are qualitatively similar to the deterministic responses. However, the changes intensities are different for results. The intensity of the changes are taken in Table 2.

Conclusions:

The Levy's solution is appropriate for computing the structural response when the Fourier loading series is available. In this paper, the Fourier method and the Levy's solution are used to obtain solutions to the stochastic shearing force response of concrete plates with uncertain parameters for sinusoidal distributed loading.

Since the load is a parameter influencing the behavior of concrete plates, along the geometrical and material characteristics, the independent evaluation of response variability due to the randomness in loading is also given.

A numerical application generating random values has been used to obtain stochastic analysis which has the advantage of the simplicity.

Through the results, there are qualitatively similarity between stochastic and deterministic shearing force responses. In addition, changes intensity for different sets of plate properties were obtained and presented. The results show that the intensity of changes are different for mean values and dispersions for different responses.

It is clear from results that the shearing force is sensitive to the uncertainty in parameters and it is vary from (mean-0.091*mean) to (mean+0.091*mean) for a plate structure under sinusoidal distributed load.

In general, the results show that for the plate structures the responses can be extremely different due to uncertainty in parameters.
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