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Abstract: One of the problems human resource managers encounter nowadays is the job burnout phenomenon. This can even happen in universities and cause a decline in work efficiency and a failure to reach long-term purposes. This study aims at manifesting the job burnout state and the effect of organizational justice and perceived organizational support on job burnout staff of the University of Isfahan who are working in administrative sections. The data gathering tools consist of three standard questionnaires for perceived organizational justice, organizational support and job burnout assessment which are administered among a sample of 150 individuals out of 448 staff working in administrative sections of the University of Isfahan. The volume of the sample is also calculated by stratification using Cochran formula. By using of structural equation modeling (SEM) method, it can be said that organizational justice and perceived organizational support has a negative effects on job burnout, but perceived organizational support doesn’t significantly affect on job burnout.
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Introduction

Nowadays the most important properties of an organization are not its machinery and equipment, but its efficient, motivated, undertaken and enthusiastic human sources; therefore organizations should pay more attention to their human sources than their machinery. With regard to the importance of human resources, job burnout phenomenon is one of the most important issues which exist in most organizations. This is considered as a destructive factor to organizations, because lots of individuals can lose their efficiency due to job burnout and stop flourishing.

Job burnout is an organizational behavior factor that can prevent from flourishing and utilizing human resources and cause job quitting, absence and production decline (Boles et al., 2000). Staffs who suffer from job burnout are less motivated and less active. They are psychologically indifferent, depressed, exhausted and fractious. They nag about their entire environment and even their colleagues and react negatively to others' suggestions, so their work quality decreases hugely (Sadeghi, 2002). Therefore, job burnout may not show its effects at the first stage, but its effects are clearly visible by studying staff and organization's efficiency. In today's world, human resource managers tend more to identify the roots of job burnout and decrease it as much as possible.

According to the studies conducted, organizational justice can play an important role in developing staff job burnout, i.e. inexistence of organizational justice can cause staff job burnout (Kroon et al., 2009; Noble & Rodwell 2008). In addition to this factor, organizational support and staff's perception of organizational support can also prevent job burnout and in case of inexistence of organizational support, this becomes a factor in causing job burnout (Aiken et al., 2001; Kwak et al., 2010). Based on this, the current study is to explore the effects of organizational justice and perceived organizational support on job burnout staff working in administrative sections of the University of Isfahan which is a quite functional environment. After exploring job burnout, organizational justice and perceived organizational support, the study hypothesis of the desired pattern have been designed and then by considering structural equations modeling (SEM), the role of organizational justice and perceived organizational support in developing job burnout has been specified.

Review of literature:

Job burnout phenomenon has been a matter of concern for management, psychology and science specialist. It involves a psychological process which occurs under a great deal of professional stress and it shows itself through emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, motivation decline and decreased personal accomplishment. Emotional exhaustion is a feeling of depression, inability and inefficiency and shows itself by some symptoms like pessimism about others, mistrust, querulous and suspicious about the honesty of others (Clark, 1998). Depersonalization is a cruel and unfair response to those who are usually receiving some services.
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from him. It also refers to the person's negative interpretation of the staff. Motivation decline and decreased personal accomplishment also cause the person to lose his sufficiency feeling in doing personal duties.

This is considered a negative evaluation of the self in doing a task as well. Several studies have been conducted about job burnout and factors affecting it. Each of these studies has found a group of factors influencing. In a study by Kwak et al. (2010), perceived organizational support had a great effect on job burnout and job burnout had a big effect on job satisfaction. In a study by Raymond et al. (2006), emotional exhaustion played an important role in job burnout and factors like life satisfaction, job satisfaction and the amount of working hours spent with subordinates had a direct relationship with emotional exhaustion. Clark's study (1998) on 112 senior and junior managers concluded that one fifth of the managers had a high job burnout; the individuals' demographics have no effect on their job burnout. In a study by Rahman (1995), 328 company founders and 288 managers had been studied for job burnout; company founders who had a higher control on their work, suffered less from job burnout compared to managers.

According to the studies done, it can be said that several intra- and extra-organizational factors have been effective on staff job burnout and several factors are effective in causing it in an organization. Therefore lots of factors should be taken into account in order to study this phenomenon. According to the review of literature and studies conducted, both organizational justice and perceived organizational support have a great impact on job burnout; this study will also explore these two factors.

The term "organizational justice" was first used by Browning et al. in 1970s. Quoted from Greenburg, Fernandes and Awamleh, it states that organizational justice involves distributional justice, procedural justice and interactional justice (Fernandes and Awamleh, 2006). Distributional justice is originated from Adams' equality theory and deals with equity perceived from consequences. Distributional justice is considered as a potential factor with some important applications in organizational context (Yochi & Spector, 2001). It should be noticed that distributional justice is not limited to fair payment, but it includes a wide range of organizational consequences such as raises, gratuities, punishments, work schedules, job advantages and performance evaluations (Folger & Cropanzano, 1998). From the beginning of the 1980s, issues related to procedural justice was also taken into account (McDowall & Fletcher, 2004). From this point of view, justice should be defined by use of fair procedures. This means that fair decisions are those which are the outcomes of fair procedures (Wendy, 2007).

Among procedural justice principles, some issues such as dispassionate, the right to express oneself, the chance to be heard and involvement in decision making can be referred to (Nabatchi et al., 2007). At the beginning of 1990s, the concept of interactional justice took the attention of organizational justice researchers. Interactional justice refers to the quality of interpersonal behaviors which an individual is exposed to before and after decision making (Wendy, 2007). Several studies have been conducted in recent years about the relationship between organizational justice and job burnout. In a study about the relationship between organizational justice (procedural justice) and job burnout on 86 Dutch organizations, Kroon et al. (2009) concluded that an inverse relationship exists between organizational justice and job burnout. Noble & Rodwell (2008) have conducted a study about the effects of organizational justice and specifically procedural justice on job burnout which the results show an inverse relationship between organizational justice and job burnout. This is so in a way that as organizational justice (and specially procedural justice) increases, job burnout decreases. Schminke et al. (2000) have also concluded in another study that in the presence of organizational justice, professional stress level will decrease job burnout level and job burnout will go under a remarkable decline. Therefore, as organizational justice increases, job burnout decreases. Thus based on the review of literature and studies conducted in this area, the first hypothesis can be stated as below:

**Hypothesis I:**

Organizational justice has a negative effect on job burnout.

In 1986, Eisenberger et al. introduced the concept of organizational perceived support by publishing an article in "Practical Psychology" magazine. According to the definition given by Eisenberger et al. (1986), staff will feel support from organization when organization values them for their cooperation and pays attention to their welfare. Although studies done in this area were few up to the middle of 1990s, they have increased in recent years (Eisenberger 2004). The theoretical basis of organizational support is the theory of social interaction. Based on this theory, in a social relationship when a person does another one a favor, he considers it as a must to compensate the other's favor. The greater the favor, the more motivation the person have to compensate. Researchers believe the same social interaction exists between employees and employers, as the organization is a source to supply employees' needs; therefore interactional relationship between employees and employers is true. Organizational support theory states that staff form a general view about the amount of organizations' support for them, and in response to this support, they regard for organization's aims and achieving them. In other words when the organization pays attention to staff's welfare, the staff will compensate it by more commitment and better performance (Eisenberger et al., 2001). Social interaction theorists believe the value of interactional relationships will increase when it is done voluntarily. When the staff feel that the organization pays attention to their welfare on its own, and not due to some legal requirements and union
forces, they better respond to it and move better towards achieving organization's goals (Eisenberger et al., 1997). In addition to this, the organization is considered as a source of satisfying some of staff's social requirements such as their identification, dependency needs and self esteem. Therefore to keep the source of satisfying their needs, the staff help organization achieve its goals (Eisenberger et al., 1990). In a general categorization, previous studies can be classified into two categories. The first category involves studies which have investigated preliminaries of perceived support. In these studies, perceived support is a dependent variable, and whatever causes the staff to feel the development of support will be identified and explored. That is to say, only those actions of organization have been investigated in these studies that cause staff to feel organization's support. The second category involves those studies which have investigated consequences of perceived support. In these studies researchers have tried to show that what positive effects support of staff will have on job burnout and that if perceived organizational support exists, job burnout will decrease.

Results of the studies in the first category have shown that three actions done by organization cause staff think they are supported; or the support they are receiving from organization has increased. These actions involve organizational justice (Shore & Shore, 1995), superordinate support (Stinglhamber & Vandenberghe, 2003) and organizational and working conditions (Stinglhamber, & Vandenberghe, 2006). Justice in distributing organizational sources, specially justice in distributing sources that aims at welfare aspects of staff, has also a great impact on their perception of the amount of organizational support. Previous studies have also shown that some other factors such as various gratuities, working conditions like income, rise of job security, working optionality, stressful factors and training influence the increase or decrease of staff's perceived support. That to what extent the superordinate values his staff's cooperation and pays attention to their welfare has a great impact on the staff's viewpoint about the support they feel from organization. Sometimes the staff consider superordinate's direct support from them as the organization's, i.e. they generalize superordinate's support to that of organization. Therefore as shown in the studies, superordinate's support plays an important role in developing staff's perceived support. Thus the second assumption is made in this way:

**Hypothesis II:**

organizational justice has a positive effect on perceived organizational support

The results in second category show that perceived support by organization has beneficial consequences in both individual and organizational level for organizations. Therefore as also pointed in a study by Aiken et al. (2001), perceived organizational support has a negative effect on job burnout and as perceived organizational support increases, job burnout decreases. Kwak et al.'s (2010) study also shows that perceived organizational support has a negative effect on job burnout. Other studies demonstrate that social and organizational support perceived by staff is effective in job burnout reduction (Garrosa et al., 2010; Emold et al., 2010; Awa et al., 2010; Thanacoody et al., 2009). Thus the third hypothesis is stated as below:

**Hypothesis III:**

perceived organizational support has a negative effect on job burnout.

Based on stated hypothesis, it can generally be supposed that the desired pattern shows the relationship between organizational justice, perceived organizational support and job burnout. The desired pattern can be shown in figure 1.

**Fig. 1: Desired pattern in the study**
Methodology:
The present study is purposefully practical and methodologically descriptive-survey from a scope branch. The following standard questionnaires have been used to collect and analyze data: Price & Mueller's questionnaire for distributional justice, Moorman's for interactional justice, and procedural justice, Eisenberger et al. (1986) for perceived organizational support and Clarke's (1998) for job burnout. The Cronbach alpha coefficient value for each questionnaire is stated below:

organizational justice: 0.89, perceived organizational support: 0.86, job burnout: 0.90

All organizational staff working in different administrative sections of the University of Isfahan was involved in our study; the statistical population of our study has been 448 individuals. Stratified sampling method is appropriate to the statistical population, in a way that a population of 150 individuals has been specified by initial pilot study of population, basic calculations and using Cochran's formula for calculating population; then sampling was done in proportion to each class of staff working in different administrative sections of the University of Isfahan. One hundred percent of the questionnaires were returned to be investigated. "Amos 18" program has been used to process the extracted data from the questionnaires, and structural equation modeling (SEM) has been used in order to investigate the study hypotheses.

Result:
The initial model for the study was not confirmed after calculating SEM by "Amos 18". The initial unconfirmed SEM is shown in figure 2.

![Unconfirmed SEM](image1)

**Fig. 2:** Unconfirmed SEM

OJ = Organizational Justice, dj = Distributional Justice, ij = Interactional Justice, pj = Procedural Justice, PS = Perceived Organizational Support, JB = Job Burnout, ee = Emotional Exhaustion, d = Depersonalization, lp = Low-performance

As it is shown in figure 2, the appropriate fitness value of the model is not accepted and the model needs to be modified. Therefore as regression weight related to low-performance is not significant, by removing this variable from the model the desired SEM is confirmed. The confirmed model is shown in figure 3.
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**Fig. 3:** Confirmed SEM
Regression weights and the effect size of each of the variables in confirmed SEM are as presented below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relationships between variables</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>organizational justice → job burnout</td>
<td>-0.394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>perceived organizational support → job burnout</td>
<td>-0.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>organizational justice → perceived organizational support</td>
<td>0.811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>organizational justice → distributional justice</td>
<td>0.769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>organizational justice → interactional justice</td>
<td>0.630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>organizational justice → procedural justice</td>
<td>0.802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>job burnout → emotional exhaustion</td>
<td>0.832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>job burnout → depersonalization</td>
<td>0.832</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to goodness-of-fit indexes, the SEM has an appropriate fitness and all indexes are in accordance with specified standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indexes</th>
<th>Standard index</th>
<th>Value index in model</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Absolute</td>
<td>CMIN</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>7.343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value-P</td>
<td>more than 0.05</td>
<td>0.394</td>
<td>Goodness of fit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparative</td>
<td>TLI</td>
<td>more than 0.9</td>
<td>0.998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parsimonious</td>
<td>RMSEA</td>
<td>less than 0.05</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CMIN/DF</td>
<td>less than 5</td>
<td>1.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PCFI</td>
<td>more than 0.5</td>
<td>0.566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PNFI</td>
<td>more than 0.5</td>
<td>0.558</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to table (2) and acquired indexes, it can be concluded that fitness model is appropriate and staff working in administrative sections of the University of Isfahan are affected by a significant organizational justice of -0.394 and a non-significant perceived organizational support of -0.054. This means that if organizational justice increases, the staff's job burnout will decrease by thirty nine percent.

Conclusion and Suggestions:

Based on hypothesis I, organizational justice exists among staff working in administrative sections of the University of Isfahan in three conditions of distributional justice, interactional justice and procedural justice; this also has a negative impact on job burnout of the staff working in administrative sections of the University of Isfahan with a coefficient of -0.394. This result is in accordance with results of studies by Kroon et al. (2009), Noblet and Rodwell (2008), Schminke et al. (2000). Thus it is suggested to superior management and human resources management of the University of Isfahan to sustain the current level of organizational justice, they try to increase it as well; this way, they can increase job satisfaction and improve their efficiency and also decrease the job burnout level of the staff in order to lessen job quitting, staff absence and other negative reactions resulted from job burnout.

Based on hypothesis II, organizational justice has a positive impact on perceived organizational support and as the organizational justice increases, perceived organizational support also increases with the rate of 0.811; this amount implies the huge effect of organizational justice on perceived organizational support. This result is in accordance with Shore & Shore's (1995) study. Therefore it is suggested to human resources managers of the University of Isfahan to raise organizational justice in order to reinforce perceived organizational support as much as possible.

For hypothesis III, even though perceived organizational support has an effect of -0.054 on job burnout, this impact is not significant and these two variables are independent of each other. Results in testing this assumption are not in accordance with studies stated in review of literature. It is suggested, therefore, to human resources management of the University of Isfahan to develop a significant relationship between the two variables of organizational support and helping human resources and provide a context of decreasing staff's job burnout by increasing organizational support and helping human resources in order to better understand organizational support.
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