Improvement of PWM Rectifier Performances Using Direct Power Control and Fuzzy Logic Theory
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Abstract: The study made in this paper concerns the use of the direct power control (DPC) technique and the fuzzy logic theory to control a pulse width modulation (PWM) rectifier. These strategies are used to eliminate harmonics currents and consequently to reduce total harmonic distortion (THD) of the line current and improve the power factor. The DPC principle is based on the control of instantaneous active and reactive powers. The principal idea of DPC was suggested initially by Ohnishi (1991) and developed then by Noguchi and Takahachi in 1998. This concept is similar to DTC applied to induction motors. With the aim of ensuring a stable active power exchange, a fuzzy inference system is adopted for the DC voltage control. A digital simulation, in Matlab/Simulink/SimPowerSystems and Fuzzy Logic Toolbox, was carried out and given in the end of this paper. Simulation results show clearly the effectiveness of the of the adopted control strategies.
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INTRODUCTION

The actual development in power electronics made that static converters are used in several industrial fields. But these devices represent nonlinear loads, which absorb a no sinusoidal current and behave like harmonics generators. Moreover, they consume sometimes reactive energy. Consequently, the wave shape of the source current loses its sinusoidal form and one also obtains a degradation of the power-factor. By this fact, electric power distributors are thus seen obliged to impose standards and to protect themselves from these disturbances.

Several control strategies were proposed in recent works for the PWM rectifier (Azizi, H.; Malinowski, M., 2003; Kazmierkowski, M.P., 1998). Although they can achieve the same total goal, such as a high power factor and sinusoidal current, but their principles differ. Particularly, the voltage orientation control (VOC) and virtual flux orientation control (VF-OC), can guarantee a high dynamics and static performances by internal loops of current control (Kazmierkowski, M.P., 1998; Malinowski, M., 2001; Jian, Kang Yong, 1999). These control strategies became very popular and consequently they are developed and improved. Final configuration and performances of the VOC technique depend largely on the quality of the current control strategy.

Another approach is based on instantaneous direct active and reactive power control, and is called direct power control (DPC). Proper switching states are selected for next switching table, based on hysteresis controllers’ outputs and the position of the line voltage space vector. However, high sampling frequency requirement is a main drawback of the switching table based direct power control scheme (Malinowski, M., 2001).

The first developed application of direct control was the stator flow control and the electromagnetic torque of an electric machine without any modulation block. Such structure was called Direct Torque Control, DTC. (Manninen, V.; Attaianese, C.,). Then, Direct Power Control (DPC) was inspired from DTC and was proposed in (Noguchi, T., 1998). In 2001, DPC was developed in (Malinowski, M., 2001) for controlling rectifiers connected to the network. In this case, active and reactive instantaneous powers represent the controlled variables. DPC and VF-DPC are based on instantaneous control active and reactive powers (Malinowski, M., 2001). In this control technique internal currents control loops and modulation block are eliminated.

In this case, logical states of PWM rectifier are generated from a switching table based on instantaneous errors between estimated active and reactive powers and theirs reference values. These new techniques allow controlling the PWM rectifier without network voltages sensors.

The active power exchange must be stable by insuring a DC voltage equal to its reference so that the PWM rectifier operates with a good efficiency. This can be carried out by using a control system able to regulate DC voltage.

In this paper, two control systems of DC voltage are considered. In the first type, one will use a PI regulator whose proportional and integral actions offer to the system a minimal overshoot and a good response time. In the second type of control, human knowledge is introduced to improve the performances of the system behavior.
II. PMW Rectifier Structure:

The power circuit of the PWM rectifier contains a bridge of six power transistors with anti-parallel diodes, which is used to carry out the PWM generation as well as the power bidirectional conversion.

The converter is supplied by a voltage source in series with an inductance and a resistance, which model the network.

Generally, the network inductance is insufficient. To attenuate the ripples due to the switching operation of the PWM rectifier, a series filter having a more significant inductance is needed.

A load and a capacity are connected simultaneously at the output of the converter. The capacity is used as a voltage source and allows the rectifier to also operate as an inverter.

Logical states impose rectifier input voltages and check:

\[
\begin{align*}
{u_{ca}} &= S_a v_{dc} \\
{u_{cb}} &= S_b v_{dc} \\
{u_{cc}} &= S_c v_{dc}
\end{align*}
\]

Thus the operation principle of the rectifier is illustrated by the following matrix system:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
{u_{ca}} \\
{u_{cb}} \\
{u_{cc}}
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{2}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} \\
1 & \frac{2}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} \\
-\frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3} & \frac{1}{3}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
S_a \\
S_b \\
S_c
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The AC side can be modeled by the following equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
{u_{ca}} &= e_a - R_i_a \\
{u_{cb}} &= e_b - R_i_b \\
{u_{cc}} &= e_c - R_i_c
\end{align*}
\]

AC currents \(i_a\), \(i_b\) and \(i_c\) are generated by voltage drops at impedances network boundaries \((e_a-u_{ca}), (e_b-u_{cb})\) and \((e_c-u_{cc})\), and then these currents will be cut out through the switches to provide the D.C. current \(i_{dc}\) such as:

\[
i_{dc} = S_a i_a + S_b i_b + S_c i_c
\]

Voltages vector generated by the rectifier can be given by Tab. 1:
Table 1: Switches configurations and the corresponding voltages vector.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(s_0)</th>
<th>(s_1)</th>
<th>(s_2)</th>
<th>(s_3)</th>
<th>(s_4)</th>
<th>(s_5)</th>
<th>(s_6)</th>
<th>(s_7)</th>
<th>(s_8)</th>
<th>(s_9)</th>
<th>(s_{10})</th>
<th>(s_{11})</th>
<th>(s_{12})</th>
<th>(V_i)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(V_i)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(V_i)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(V_i)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(V_i)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(-\frac{2}{3})</td>
<td>(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(V_i)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The vector representation of voltage vectors generated by the rectifier is illustrated in fig.2:

![Fig. 2: Voltage vectors generated by the rectifier.](image)

III. DPC Strategy

DPC principle is based on a control vector selection according to a switching table found on the digitized errors \(S_p, S_q\) of instantaneous active and reactive powers, provided by two level hysteresis regulators, as well as on angular position of the estimated voltage vector. According to this position value, the plan \((\alpha, \beta)\) is divided into twelve sectors where one must associate at each sector a logical state of the rectifier. The reference of the active power is reactive power reference is chosen equal to zero. Hence the key point for implementing DPC strategies is a correct and a fast estimation of active and reactive line powers.

The estimation of instantaneous active and reactive powers is carried out by:

\[
\hat{P} = L \left( \frac{di_a}{dt} + \frac{di_b}{dt} + \frac{di_c}{dt} \right) + V_{dc} \left( S_a i_a + S_b i_b \right) \tag{5}
\]

\[
\hat{Q} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left[ L \left( \frac{di_a}{dt} i_c - \frac{di_c}{dt} i_a \right) V_{dc} \left( S_a (i_b - i_c) + S_b (i_c - i_a) + S_c (i_a - i_b) \right) \right] \tag{6}
\]

The line voltage can be estimated by the following equation:

\[
\begin{bmatrix} \hat{e}_a \\ \hat{e}_b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ i_a & i_b \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} i_a \\ i_b \end{bmatrix} \left[ \hat{P} \right] \left[ \hat{Q} \right] \tag{7}
\]

The knowledge of the estimated voltage sector is necessary to determine optimal switching states. Let us consider that the \(\alpha-\beta\) plant is divided into 12 sectors as it shown in fig. 3.
Fig. 3: α-β plant divided into 12 sectors.

The outputs hysteresis regulators given by the boolean variables $S_p$ and $S_q$, indicate higher or lower limits of powers errors according to the below logic:

$$\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
\text{ref} - \beta > h_p & \Rightarrow S_p = 1 \\
\text{ref} - \beta < -h_p & \Rightarrow S_p = 0 \\
q_{\text{ref}} - q > h_q & \Rightarrow S_q = 1 \\
q_{\text{ref}} - q < -h_q & \Rightarrow S_q = 0
\end{cases}
\end{align*}$$

(8)

Where $h_p, h_q$ are the variations of the hysteresis regulators.

By neglecting line voltage variations (Bouafia, A., 2009; Chaoui, A., 2008), dynamics of active and reactive powers can be given as follows:

$$\begin{align*}
\frac{dp}{dt} &= \frac{1}{L} \left( e_a^2 + e_\beta^2 \right) - \frac{1}{L} \left( e_a u_{ca} + e_\beta u_{cb} \right) \\
\frac{dq}{dt} &= \frac{1}{L} \left( e_a u_{cb} - e_\beta u_{ca} \right)
\end{align*}$$

(9) (10)

Logical states of the rectifier switches are generated basing on the switching table given as below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$S_p$</th>
<th>$S_q$</th>
<th>Sector</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7-12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Switching table.

IV. Fuzzy DC Voltage Control

The goal of a DC side control system is to regulate DC voltage and to generate magnitude of the reference line current witch will be multiplied by the dc voltage to obtain the reference of the instantaneous active power. To have unity power factor condition, reference reactive power must be equal to zero.

The regulation function is ensured by a PI corrector shown in the figure below:

Fig. 4: Structural diagram of the DC voltage fuzzy control.
The fuzzy regulator uses two inputs: The first input is the error between the reference and the measured value of the DC voltage. The second one represents the variation of this error. These two signals are expressed by:

\[
\varepsilon(k) = v_{dc\text{ref}}(k) - v_{dc}(k) \\
\Delta \varepsilon(k) = \varepsilon(k) - \varepsilon(k - 1)
\]  

(11)  

(12)

Membership functions representing input and output variables are given by fig.5:

![Membership functions of input and output variables.](image)

**Fig. 5:** Membership functions of input and output variables.

NB: Negative Big; NM: Negative Medium; NS: Negative Small; ZE: Zero; PB: Positive Big; PM: Positive Medium; PS: Positive Small;

Fuzzy rules are gathered in an inference matrix shown in Table.3:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \varepsilon(k) )</th>
<th>( \Delta \varepsilon(k) )</th>
<th>( v_{dc\text{ref}}(k) )</th>
<th>( v_{dc}(k) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NB</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>ZE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3:** Inference table of the DC voltage fuzzy controller.

-V. Simulation and Discussion:
   
To validate the effectiveness of the control strategy studied in this paper, a digital simulation was carried out under MATLAB/SIMULINK environment.

   The DC voltage control system is tested as well as the DPC method following a DC voltage step variation occurred at \( t=0.5s \) from 380V to 470V.

   The effectiveness of the DC voltage fuzzy control is illustrated by fig.6. We can see that the system became stable and robust. The system response is very fast, and does not present any overshoot.

   Fig.8 shows that when the DC voltage reaches the new reference value, the active power and consequently the line current increase. In this case the power increase is limited, what avoids dangerous overcurrents for the system operation.

   In fig. 9, we can see that the reactive power flow is small, what is very beneficial for the system performances.

   The line voltage and current are almost in phase (see fig.10), and thus the power-factor is almost equal to 1. The wave shape of the line current close to the sinusoid, and hence the THD (Total Harmonic Distortion) was reduced.

   In order to maintain the continuous bus charged, the DC voltage variation involves a reference variation in the instantaneous active power. Fig.7 shows that the DPC technique responds very quickly with regard to the power reference variation.
Fig. 6: Control system step response.

Fig. 7: Reference active power.

Fig. 8: Estimated instantaneous active power.

Fig. 9: Estimated instantaneous reactive power.
Fig. 10: Sinusoidal line current in phase with the line voltage.

VI. Conclusion:
In this article we presented a new control strategy for a PWM rectifier. It concerns the use of the direct power control principle via a fuzzy control system on the DC side, it reduces the number of sensors used, and to offer a fast power response following a disturbance. In order to obtain a stable exchange of the active power flow between the converter and the electrical network, the dc voltage is controlled using a fuzzy regulator. Simulation results showed that the DPC technique combined to a dc voltage fuzzy control improves the system performances.

These improvements affect the performances of the system response on the DC side (overshoot and response time), as well as the power-factor and the THD of the line current.

APPENDICE
- System parameters
  $R=88\Omega$, $L=3.127\text{mH}$, $C=1\text{mF}$, $R_{\text{load}}=100\Omega$, $V_{\text{dcref}}=380\text{V}$, Peak amplitude of line voltage=$200\text{V}$, Source voltage frequency=$50\text{Hz}$.
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