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Abstract: Stature estimation is an important parameter in medico-legal and forensic examinations. In cases where highly decomposed and mutilated dead bodies with fragmentary remains are brought for forensic examination, it becomes necessary to identify the deceased. The aim of this study is to obtain regression formula for estimation of stature in Urhobopopulation of southern Nigeria from length of upper extremity long bones. The stature of 338 healthy males and 296 healthy females and their upper extremity long bones were measured. All statistical dispositions were done in SPSS version 16 including description and regression analysis. The results indicate that the long bones studied strongly and positively correlated (p<0.05) with stature. Regression formulae with statistic significance in estimation of the stature from the sum of the length of the upper extremity long bones were established. In conclusion, regression coefficient was higher in humerus than in ulna, in males than in females. Measurement of the length of the upper extremity long bones seems to be a simple and practical method to estimate human stature in forensic practice.
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INTRODUCTION

No two individuals are exactly alike in all their measurable traits; no control however great can make all the cells similar. Even genetically identical twins differ in some respect (Indrayan, 2007). One of the questions when people find new remnants of skeleton is what the height of the person was when he was alive. This question forms the basis of identification, forensic anthropologist have taken interest since a long time in determining the heights of individuals from dimensions of bones. With increasing frequency of mass disasters, (wars, accident, terror events and natural disasters) identification of an isolated upper extremity long bone and the determination of the stature of individuals have created problems for investigators in identifying some victims. The earthquake in Turkey in August 1999 and the terrorist attack on world trade centre in September 2001 created great challenges to identification effort. Stature is one of the most important and useful anthropometric parameter which determines the identity of an individual. It is synonymous with height, built, physique and tallness. It is a measure of biological development of both an individual and a population (Kodak, 1996). It is the natural height of a human or animal in an upright position, it is taken from vertex to the floor, in anatomical position and Frankfort plane (Ozaslan et al., 2006). Stature has a definite and proportional biological relationship with each and every part of the body like leg length, arm span, foot dimension, hand measurements to mention a few. The relationship helps a forensic scientist to calculate stature from dismembered and mutilated body parts in forensic examinations (Kewal, 2007). Two methods of stature estimation have been established, mathematical method and anatomical method. The most widely used mathematical method is that of Trotter and Gleser (Trotter et al., 1952). Studies on stature estimation mostly from long bones have been reported by published work of (Trotter et al., 1952; Pearson, 1899; Athwale, 1963; Petal et al., 1964). Some of such have also been reported for Nigerians, Ebite et al., 2008 and Didia et al., 2007. Having known the means of stature estimation are population and sex specific as reported by the following authors. Petal et al., 1964; Joshi et al., 1964; Devi and Nath 2005; Jain et al., 2006). The present study therefore provides anthropometric correlation of some upper limb bones with stature in Urhobos of southern Nigeria.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The data for the present study were based on a sample of 634 adult Urhobos (338 males and 296 females) ranging in age from 18 – 30 years. The subjects were randomly selected from the six local government areas of Delta State of Nigeria where Urhobos are located. The following data were thereafter taken according to landmarks and procedure recommended by (Krishan and Kumar 2007) and (Bhavana and Surinder 2009).

- **Stature**: measurements were taken by making the subjects stand on a horizontal resisting plane bare footed with shoulder blocks and buttocks touching the wall, and with subjects standing in anatomical position. The movable band of the anthropometric rod was brought in contact with vertex in the mid sagittal plane.
- **Ulna length**: subjects were made to flex forearm at a 90 degree angle, the distance from the most prominent point of the olecranon process to the most distal point of the styloid process was measured.
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• Humerus length: standing in an erect position, a straight distance from the greater tubercle to the lateral epicondyle was measured.

The data thus collected were subjected to statistics like mean, S.D., Pearson’s correlation coefficients, regression analysis using SPSS version 16.

Results:

Table 1: Descriptive statistic for stature and long bones in adult Urhobos. (n= 338 males and 296 females)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement (in inches)</th>
<th>Means</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Means</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stature</td>
<td>68.99</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>64.69</td>
<td>3.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulna length</td>
<td>11.18</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>10.30</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humerus length</td>
<td>12.25</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>11.34</td>
<td>1.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Correlation coefficients between stature and long bones in adult Urhobos (n= 338 males and 296 females)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement (in inches)</th>
<th>Correlation coefficient (r)</th>
<th>Correlation coefficient (r)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ulna length</td>
<td>0.390</td>
<td>0.481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humerus length</td>
<td>0.142</td>
<td>0.214</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Regression equation for estimation of stature (in inches) from long bone measurements in adult Urhobos

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement (in inches)</th>
<th>Regression equation</th>
<th>SEE</th>
<th>Regression equation</th>
<th>SEE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ulna length</td>
<td>45.19 + 2.13 (Y)</td>
<td>3.074</td>
<td>40.56 + 2.36 (Y)</td>
<td>2.600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humerus length</td>
<td>65.57 + 0.28 (Y)</td>
<td>1.317</td>
<td>60.76 + 0.37 (Y)</td>
<td>1.132</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 presents means and standard deviations of stature and long bones of the upper limb measurements of adult male and female Urhobos. In the sample of 634, an adult Urhobo male has an average height of 68.99 inches/172.47 cm and an adult Urhobofemale has an average height of 64.69 inches/161.72 cm.

Table 2 presents Pearson’s correlation coefficient between stature and some long bones of the upper limb. The two long bone studied show significant positive correlation with stature (p<0.05).

Table 3 show regression equations for estimation of stature (in inches) from two long bones of the upper extremity.

Discussion:

The finding of this study confirms that long bones can be used in estimation of stature in situation when only long bones are brought for forensic examination. Various methods are used to establish the identity of unknown human remains and the reliability of each method varies. The introduction of regression formulae developed in modern population has enhanced the accuracy of stature estimation (Shalini et al., 2008). Utmost care must be taken while measuring the subject for stature and long bones lengths (Kewal, 2007). The findings of the study indicate that the two long bones studied are positively and significantly correlated with stature (P<0.05). From table 1 mean height of males are higher than the mean height of females, it was also the same for the two long bones studied. These tend to agree with studies of Ebite et al., 2009 done in a community in Edo state of Nigeria. ZhouXiao-Rong (2009) estimated stature from upper extremity long bone by digital radiography and found regression was higher in ulna than radius. In 2004 Izzetduyarin his study found ulna to correlate more with stature than tibia. The usefulness of regression equation is generally assessed on the basis of their standard error of estimate. In the present study, the standard error of estimate ranged from 1.13 in/2.71 cm to 3.07 in/7.36 cm for the long bone. Other studies of long bones show standard errors of estimates that are comparable. For example the study by Trotter and Glesser display values of 2.99 to 4.45 cm in whites, 2.25 to 3.09 cm by Dupertuis and Hadden. The standard error from other bones like skull, vertebrae columns and metacarpals are higher. This implies that long bones produce the lowest error of estimate and therefore should be used as first preference to estimate stature.

In conclusion the most accurate regression equation is indicated by formula that contains the lowest standard error of estimate. Thus in both males and females in this study, Humerus provides a lower standard error of estimate as such it is termed a more accurate predictor of stature.

Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to Professor P.S.Igbigbi of Delta State University, Abraka Nigeria for supervising this work. Am also grateful to all subjects who voluntarily participated and cooperated during data collection. Thanks
REFERENCES


