Metric and Non metric characteristics of human forefoot: A radiological study in Egyptian population
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Abstract

Human forefoot is composed of metatarsal bones and phalanges. Their morphology and dimensions are important for proper bipedal locomotor function. Structural defects affecting forefoot bones result in foot dysfunction. The aim of the present study is to describe the morphology of the bones of forefoot and to measure their lengths and widths. A digital radiographic study was conducted on right and left feet of 100 healthy individuals (50 males and 50 females) above 21 years old. Inspection of the forefoot bones using radiographs was done to describe general shapes of bones. The lengths and widths of metatarsal bone and phalanges were measured using Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine (DICOM) format. Mean and standard deviations were obtained for all measurements of each side of both sexes. Morphological dissimilarities were reported for middle phalanx. Overall, there was a moderate to strong correlation between metatarsals regarding lengths and widths. Most of forefoot bones were longer and wider in males than females. This research highlighted the value of metric measurements of forefoot bones for surgical procedures or sex recognition.
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INTRODUCTION

Human foot is a highly modified distal portion of lower limb. It is responsible for stepping, walking and running (Mann, 1999) and weight bearing (Viladot, 1973). The human foot is comprised of bone, joints, muscles and fascia. The integrity of these structures keep it perform its function properly (Mann, 1999). The foot is divided anatomically from anterior to posterior into three parts; the forefoot, the midfoot and the hindfoot. The forefoot part is composed of five proximal bones called metatarsals and more distal bones called phalanges (Nawoczenski et al., 1998). The metatarsals bear weight through its heads. The first metatarsal bears double the weight of each of the other four metatarsals. The weight is distributed in the ratio of 2:1:1:1:1:1 (Viladot, 1973). Any deviation from this normal ratio impairs foot function (Nawoczenski et al., 1998). The morphological appearance of phalanges adapts the foot for bipedal locomotor function (Rolian et al., 2009). Characteristically the phalanges have a variable morphological appearance (Keat and Anderson, 2013). Structural defects of the foot may be isolated defects as congenital Talipes Equinovarus (Al Abdulwahab and Kachanathu, 2015), part of a complex syndrome (Mann, 1999) or trauma (Nawoczenski et al., 1998). The coexistence of both metatarsal and metacarpal defects in same subject may raise the possibility of having syndrome (Green et al., 1989). Industrial trauma to the metatarsals is very common to the first and second metatarsal bones (Lamm, 2006). Disturbance in the relative lengths and widths of the bony components of the forefoot may lead to pain in the feet, gait disturbances and locomotor dysfunction (Murphy, 2013) secondary to corns, calluses and arthritis formation that impair foot function (Mann, 1999). Restoring the proper lengths and widths of the metatarsals and phalanges is important for optimal surgical outcome (Lamm, 2006). In surgery metatarsal lengthening or shortening is aiming to achieve a length that function in a proper manner (Lamm et al., 2009). Knowing the lengths or widths of the metatarsals and phalanges and their correlation among themselves may be of value for sex determination, surgical procedures such as lengthening or shortening of the metatarsal and phalanx bone or describing the affected bone length or width in congenital skeletal abnormalities (Dog dan et al., 2007).

The aim of the present study was to describe the shape of the bone forming forefoot and to measure the lengths and widths of both metatarsals and phalanges of the forefeet on standardized radiographs and to determine the possible correlation between the forefoot bones amongst themselves and their value for surgical implication or sex determination.

METHODS
This digital radiographic study was conducted on both right and left feet of 100 healthy individuals (50 males and 50 females) above 21 years old (To ensure complete bone ossification). AP radiographs of both feet were done at the same time. A consent form was obtained from each participant before this procedure.

Exclusion criteria include subjects less 21 years old, history of feet problems such as trauma or surgical operations in lower limb. The radiograph was taken while the patient in supine position with flexed knee. The planter surface of foot was placed in a flat firm manner on the cassette. Lead shield was put over pelvic region. The collimation was done to outer margins of foot on four sides. The central ray was angled 10 degree posteriorly towards the calcaneus and centered on and perpendicular to the base of 3rd metatarsal, the FFD = 100 cm, the technical factors were 70 KV and 2 mAs.

Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine (DICOM) format was used as a tool for measuring lengths and widths of forefoot bones. Radiographs were viewed using RadiAntDicom viewing software (32 bit) with magnification and measuring tools (ver. 1.0.4.4439, Copyright 2009-2012 Medixant) (fig. 1). For length determination a midpoint of base and a midpoint of head of metatarsal bone and phalanges were localized and the length of the line between these two points was measured. For width determination a midpoint (the length of corresponding bone divided by two) were localized on both medial and lateral sides of bone and the length of the line between these two points was measured and recorded. Inspection of the forefoot bones using radiographs was done to describe general shapes and borders of the bones and its similarity or variation among themselves

Statistical Analysis
The data were analyzed using SPSS statistical package version 20. One sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to detect the distribution of data and according to the result of this test, the suitable test was chosen. Descriptive statistical measures, including measures of central tendency (mean) and dispersion (SD), were used to describe the data. Mean and standard deviations of each variable were calculated for both sides of both sexes. Student-t test was done to establish the presence or absence of significant sexual differences by side. P values <0.05 were considered statistically significant. The association between metatarsal lengths and widths was assessed using Pearson’s correlation coefficient.

RESULTS
A digital radiographic examination of individuals aged between 27 and 41 years was done in the present study.

Morphological (Non-metric) analysis
Metatarsals (Mt):
They showed concave medial and lateral margins. There was no morphological variation concerning metatarsals margins among themselves. The length of the bone was much greater than its width (elongated type)
Proximal phalanges (PP):
They showed concave medial and lateral margins. There was no morphological variation concerning PP margins among themselves. The length of the bone was greater than its width (elongated type).

Middle phalanges (MP):
The medial and lateral margins of this bone may be concave or flattened. The length of the bone may be greater than its width, equal or even less. Variable appearance of MP leads to description of middle phalanx as elongated type (L variety) if anteroposterior distance is greater than transverse one or shortened type (S variety) if anteroposterior distance is equal or less than transverse distance.

In the present study the 5th toe never had elongated type. Also absence of middle phalanges was reported for 4th and 5th toes.

Pattern of variable appearance of MP: (Fig.2-5)

In the present study MP may show:
Elongated MP from 2nd digit to 4th one with shortened type in 5th digit (3L 1S) (Fig.2A)
Elongated MP in 2nd digit and 3rd one with shortened type in 4th and 5th digits (2L 2S) (Fig.2B)
Elongated MP in 2nd digit only and shortened type from 3rd to 5th digits (1L 3S) (Fig.3A)
Shortened MP from 2nd digit to 5th digit (0L 4S) (Fig.3B)
No MP in 5th digit. (Fig.4A)
No MP in 4th digit and 5th digit. (Fig.4B)
The MP of 5th toe may appear round because of having biconvex lateral margins (R variety). (Fig.5)

Distal phalanges (DP):
They showed deeply curved medial and lateral margins. They have wide base and tapered distal end. There was no morphological variation concerning DP margins among themselves. The length of the bone was greater than its width (elongated type).

Fig. 2: showing (A) elongated type (L) of middle phalanx of 2nd, 3rd and 4th digits and shortened (S) type in 5th one (3L 1S pattern). In (B) elongated type (L) of middle phalanx of 2nd and 3rd digits and shortened (S) type in 4th and 5th one (2L 2S pattern).
Fig. 3: showing (A) elongated type (L) of middle phalanx of 2nd digit and shortened (S) type in 3rd, 4th and 5th one (1L 3S pattern). In (B) shortened (S) type in all lateral four digits (0L 4S pattern).

Fig. 4: showing (A) elongated type (L) of middle phalanx of 2nd digit and shortened (S) type in 3rd and 4th one with no MP in 5th toe (1L 2S pattern). In (B) elongated type (L) of MP of 2nd and 3rd digits and no shortened (S) type. There is no MP in both 4th and 5th digits (2L 0S pattern).
Fig. 5: showing (A) elongated type (L) of middle phalanx of 2nd and 3rd digits and shortened (S) type in 4th one, rounded appearance of MP of 5th digit (2L 1S 1R pattern). In (B) elongated type (L) of MP of 2nd digit, shortened (S) type in 3rd and 4th one and rounded appearance of MP of 5th digit (1L 2S 1R pattern)

**METRIC ANALYSIS**

For Lengths:

Measurement of the length of metatarsal revealed that the longest metatarsal in male subjects was Lt Mt2 followed by Rt Mt3 and shortest one was Lt Mt1. In Female subjects the longest metatarsal was Lt Mt3 followed by Lt Mt4 and the shortest was Lt Mt1. Statistical analysis of the metatarsal lengths for both sides of both sexes revealed that there are sex differences for all metatarsal lengths except Rt Mt2, Rt Mt3, Lt Mt4 and Rt Mt5 (Table 1). Measurement of the length of PP revealed that the longest PP in male subjects was Lt PP1 followed by Rt PP1 and shortest one was Lt PP5. In Female subjects the longest PP was Rt PP1 followed by Lt PP1 and the shortest was both Rt PP3 and Rt PP5. Measurement of the length of MP revealed that the longest MP in male subjects was Rt MP2 followed by Rt MP3 and shortest one was Rt MP5. In Female subjects the longest MP was Rt MP3 followed by Lt MP2 and the shortest was Lt MP5. Measurement of the length of DP revealed that the longest DP in male subjects was Lt DP1 followed by Rt DP1 and shortest one was Lt DP5. In Female subjects the longest DP was Rt DP1 followed by Lt DP1 and the shortest was Lt DP5. Statistical analysis of the phalangeal lengths for both sides of both sexes revealed that there are sex differences for all phalanges lengths except Lt PP1, Rt DP1, Rt PP2, Lt PP2, Rt MP3, Lt MP3 and Rt DP5 (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Males mean±SD</th>
<th>Females mean±SD</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rt (50)</td>
<td>Lt (50)</td>
<td>Rt (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt 1</td>
<td>6.81±0.29</td>
<td>6.39±0.63</td>
<td>5.83±0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt 2</td>
<td>7.74±0.53</td>
<td>7.92±0.75</td>
<td>6.36±0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt 3</td>
<td>7.88±0.52</td>
<td>7.70±0.55</td>
<td>6.29±0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt 4</td>
<td>7.83±0.29</td>
<td>7.63±0.39</td>
<td>6.17±0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt 5</td>
<td>7.41±0.49</td>
<td>7.23±0.83</td>
<td>6.02±0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP1</td>
<td>3.18±0.40</td>
<td>3.35±0.36</td>
<td>2.57±0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DP1</td>
<td>2.34±0.14</td>
<td>2.52±0.13</td>
<td>2.12±0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP2</td>
<td>3.06±0.27</td>
<td>3.14±0.26</td>
<td>2.18±0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MP2</td>
<td>1.24±0.39</td>
<td>0.95±0.26</td>
<td>0.82±0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DP2</td>
<td>0.79±0.11</td>
<td>0.67±0.20</td>
<td>0.79±0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP3</td>
<td>2.82±0.23</td>
<td>2.35±1.16</td>
<td>1.69±0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MP3</td>
<td>1.06±0.33</td>
<td>0.96±0.32</td>
<td>0.92±0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DP3</td>
<td>0.85±0.15</td>
<td>0.75±0.17</td>
<td>0.45±0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP4</td>
<td>2.34±0.85</td>
<td>2.67±0.22</td>
<td>1.79±0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MP4</td>
<td>0.57±0.13</td>
<td>0.74±0.14</td>
<td>0.54±0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DP4</td>
<td>0.83±0.11</td>
<td>0.74±0.23</td>
<td>0.58±0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP5</td>
<td>2.32±0.23</td>
<td>2.59±0.32</td>
<td>1.69±0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MP5</td>
<td>0.52±0.08</td>
<td>0.68±0.35</td>
<td>0.49±0.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Correlation coefficient between lengths of metatarsals amongst themselves were recorded and presented for males and female subjects (Table 3). In male’s correlation coefficient between lengths of metatarsals amongst themselves showed that most of the variables show significant strong positive correlation with each other. The highest significant correlation was between Mt4 and Mt5 (r=0.93). Also, Mt1 showed highest significant association with Mt5 (r=0.82) thus the length of the metatarsals can be predicted (Table 3). In female’s correlation coefficient between lengths of metatarsals amongst themselves showed that Mt1 correlated significantly and positively with Mt2, Mt3, Mt5 thus the length of the Mt1 can be predicted from these metatarsals. However, it showed significant negative correlation with Mt4. No other significant association was found (Table 3).
Ordered and presented for males and females. They have wide base and tapered head. There was no digit without DP. These observations are similar to other metatarsals also Mt2 negatively correlated with Mt3 and Mt4. There was no morphological deviation of PP margins. There was no morphological variation concerning PP. The length of the PP is always greater than its width. This is similar to the findings of Le Minor et al. (2016).

DISCUSSION

X-ray procedures allow rapid, costless and easy visualization of skeletal system with little drawbacks (Domínguez-Maldonado et al., 2014). Ossification of bones of foot doesn’t happen until age of 15 and 17 in male and female subjects respectively. Fusion of epiphysis is completed at 20 years old (Kleiger et al., 1982). Therefore, subjects less than 21 years old were excluded in the present study. In the present study The PP showed concave medial and lateral margins. There was no morphological deviation of this appearance concerning MP margins of different digits. The length of the PP is always greater than its width. This is similar to the observations of Keats and Anderson, 2013 who noted that the PP bone is always of an elongated type. The traditional morphological appearance of PP may be due to that PP always have secondary center of ossification (Billmann and Le Minor, 2007). In the present study the MP showed variations in its antero-posterior distance. As the length of the bone may be greater than its width, equal or even less. Variable appearance of MP leads to description of middle phalanx as elongated type or shortened type. This is in accordance with (Jouffroy and Lessertisseur, 1977 and Le Minor et al., 2016) who reported that the pattern of toes reduction concerning the MP is unique in human being. It was not noted for other primates before. The MP may not have well-recognized nutrient foramina and get its blood supply through periosteal blood vessels (Mysorekar and Nandedkar, 1979). This may explain in part the variable morphology of elongated and short type of MP noted in the present study. The middle phalanges seem to have a key role in the specific evolution of human lateral toes (Le Minor et al., 2016). In the present study the 5th digit was presented only with shortened type of MP and no cases were reported with elongated type. This is in agreement with (Le Minor et al., 2016) who reported that MP of the 5th toe if present was only of shortened type. It was an interesting to note that most of elongated MP was having concave medial and lateral margins while most of the shortened type was having flat to convex margins. This description is similar to (Christman, 2003) who reported the borders of rectangular MP may appear straight or curved. In the present study convexity of lateral margins in some of MP of 5th toe was noted giving it a rounded appearance. To the best of our knowledge this is rounded type wasn’t described before.

Absence of MP in the 4th and 5th digits was reported in the present study. The 4th digit or 5th digits with absent MP were described as having biphalangeal pattern. The secondary center of ossification may play a significant role in occurrence of this pattern (Billmann and Le Minor, 2007). The occurrence of biphalangeal digit varies geographically. The highest occurrence was reported for Japanese (72.5–80.4%) was reported (Nakashima et al. 1995). In the present study the DP showed no morphological variation concerning DP of different digits. They have wide base and tapered head. There was no digit without DP. These observations are
similar to those reported by (Billmann and Le Minor, 2007) who noted no major morphological differences in DP in all toes. However, the DP may be rudimentary or absent in other primates (Jouffroy and Lessorriere, 1977). In the present study Lt Mt2 was the longest one in both sexes. This is in accordance with (Patil et al., 2017) who reported that the second metatarsal bone was the longest one. On the other hand, in a study done by (Dogan et al., 2007) recorded that the longest metatarsal bone was the fifth one. This difference may be due to different reference points for length measuring technique. Some authors consider the margin of styloid process of the 5th metatarsal in measuring 5th metatarsal length. The present study reported that the shortest metatarsal bone to be Lt Mt1 in both sexes. This is in accordance with both (Dogan et al., 2007; Patil et al., 2017). In the present study the mean length value of Mt1 for males was 6.81±0.29 cm and 6.39±0.63 cm for right and left side respectively. The mean length value of Mt1 for females was 5.83±0.69 and 5.46±0.28 cm for right and left side respectively. These values are higher than those reported by (Patil et al., 2017) who reported a mean length of first metatarsals bones were 56.42±4.41 mm and 50.09±3.06 mm in males and females respectively. Also mean values for Mt2 length in the present study were higher than those reported by (Patil et al., 2017). This difference may be in part due to different races and or different methodological techniques. In the present study the widest metatarsal in male and female subjects was Lt Mt1. This is in accordance with both (Abdel Moneim et al., 2008; Dogan et al., 2007 and Patil et al., 2017). In general the 1st metatarsal bone is thickest and shortest one. This is in accordance to (Mann, 1999) who stated that the first metatarsal bone is the shortest and thickest and plays an important role during propulsion as many tendons attached to it. On the other hand the second, third, and fourth metatarsal bones are the most stable of the metatarsals. They are well-protected and have only minor tendon attachments. These characteristics of 1st metatarsal bone adapt it for weight bearing capacity of 1st metatarsal which is double that of any other metatarsals (Viladot, 1973). The narrowest metatarsal was Rt Mt3 and Lt Mt4 in male and female subjects respectively. In a study done by (Dogan et al., 2007 and Patil et al., 2017) the narrowest metatarsal was Mt3. However, (Abdel Moneim et al., 2008) reported that the narrowest metatarsal to be 5th and 2nd metatarsal for male and female subjects respectively. Also both (Dogan et al., 2007 and Patil et al., 2017) reported similar finding. The mean value of PP1 was higher in the present study than that recorded by (Patil et al., 2017). The Lt DP5 was reported to be the shortest one followed by Lt MP5 in the present study. The same finding was reported by (Patil et al., 2017). However, (Dogan et al., 2007) reported the 5th MP to be the shortest. The short lengths of the phalanges add to proper locomotors function of the foot by decreasing load of muscles responsible for digit flexion and make locomotor function much better (Mann, 1999).Measuring forefoot bone dimensions is important for evaluating the severity of a disorder, the choice of suitable procedure, achieving proper surgery outcome, and post-surgery evaluation (Patil et al., 2017). Changes in metatarsal lengths may result in motor limitations. As the heads of metatarsals are load bearing. Knowledge of the lengths of all metatarsals bones and its correlation with each other is of surgical importance especially osteotomy. Proper osteotomy needs proper length determination (John et al., 2015). Knowledge of the lengths of metatarsal bone is important for surgeons both before and after surgical procedures in foot. As it helps in determination proper osteotomy length, proper uniformity of the elongated metatarsal and bypass prospect complication (Scher et al., 2010). After surgery length determination is important to assess the degree of osteotomy success and the probability of subsequent pain arising from operated metatarsal (Lee et al., 2015). Correlation coefficient was carried out to examine the possibility of calculation of metatarsal length using the lengths of other metatarsals. In the present study there were significant positive correlation regarding metatarsal lengths among themselves. This is important in patients need resection of metatarsal heads secondary to deformed foot due to diabetes or rheumatic diseases. Resection of metatarsal heads affect the anatomy of medial longitudinal arch impairing foot function with resultant pain (Murphy, 2013). This highlights the importance of knowing this relation pre and post-operative. In the present study most of metatarsal and phalangeal lengths were greater in male than females with significant difference except length of Dp2 which were longer in female subjects than male subjects. Also (Abdel Moneim et al., 2008) in his study reported that metatarsal length in male subjects is greater than that of female subjects and thus it is of value in sex discrimination. In the present study males were having wider metatarsal and phalanges except for width of Mt3. These findings are in accordance with those reported by (Akhlafi et al., 2017) who found both bones mean length and width are greater in male subjects than female subjects. Also, Patil et al., (2017) reported a significant metric value difference between male and females. Size is the basic anatomical differences between the male and female skeletal system and this difference is well documented. For example, the male subjects have larger skulls with well-defined bony prominence such as glabella, supraorbital ridge, inion, occipital condyle, mastoid and styloid process, and men have bigger bones of the hindfoot and forefoot on the other hand female subjects have wider pelvic cavity (Dominguez-Maldonado et al., 2014). However, mean values obtained must be population based. Specific population-based values can be used for sex recognition and not that of others (Akhlafi et al., 2017).

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

The dimensions of the forefoot bones and their correlation within themselves are important determinants for the proper function of the lower extremity. Hence, they are important for both surgical application and sex recognition. The correlations between forefoot bones enable practitioners to calculate the proper length of other forefoot bones. The morphology of forefoot bone may add to proper surgical procedures or sex recognition. Further study comparing sex difference based on forefoot morphology may be interesting.

LIMITATION OF THE STUDY

A lager sample is required to give authoritative data for Egyptian population
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