

Asymptotic Distribution of UMVUE of r th Central Moments in Bernoulli Distribution

Narges Abbasi

Department of Statistics, Payame Noor University, Shiraz Centre 71365-944, Shiraz, Iran.

Abstract: In theory of U-statistics, for determine of asymptotic distribution of them, two theorems are considered. Under certain conditions, the distribution of U-statistics tend to normal distribution or linear combination of independent chi-square random variables. In this article, by a sample from Bernoulli distribution with p parameter, we determine asymptotic distribution of U-statistic for r th central moment.

key words: Asymptotic distribution, Central moments, Point estimation, U-Statistic.

INTRODUCTION

In the theory of U-statistics, we consider a functional θ defined on a set F distribution functions on R :

$\theta = \theta(F) F \in F$. The $\theta \in \theta(F)$ estimated by using a sample from the random variables X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n

which are independently and identically distributed with distribution function F . Halmos (1946). proved that the functional θ admits an unbiased estimator if and only if there is a function h of k variables such that

$$\theta = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(x_1, \dots, x_k) Fd(x_1)Fd(x_2)\dots Fd(x_k) \quad (1)$$

A functional satisfying equation (1) for some function h is called a *regular statistical functional* of degree k , and the function h is called the *kernel* of the functional. If a functional can be written as a regular statistical functional then optimal unbiased estimators can be constructed.

For a distribution function F let $\mu'_r = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x^r dF$, the r th moment about 0, and let $\mu = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x - \mu) dF$, the r th central moment or

$$\mu_r = \sum_{i=0}^{r-2} \binom{r}{i} (-1)^i \mu_1^i E(X^{r-i}) + (-1)^{r-1} (r-1) \mu_1^r. \quad (2)$$

An unbiased estimator for μ_r can be write

$$h_r^*(X_1, \dots, X_r) = \sum_{i=1}^{r-2} \binom{r}{i} (-1)^i X_1^{r-i} \prod_{j=2}^{i+1} X_j + (-1)^{r-1} \prod_{j=1}^r X_j.$$

h_r^* is not symmetric about its arguments, but it appears useful in next sections.

Heffernan, P.M. (1997). obtained an estimator of the r th central moment of a distribution, which unbiased for all distributions for which the first r moments exists. There is a unique symmetric unbiased estimator of μ .

$$U_r(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{(n-r)!}{n!} \sum h_r(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_r}),$$

where the sum extends over all $\binom{n-r}{r-1}$ permutations (i_1, \dots, i_r) of r distinct integers chosen from $1, 2, \dots, n$ and

$$h_r(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_r}) = \sum_{j=0}^{r-2} (-1)^j \frac{1}{r-j} \sum x_{i_1}^{r-j} x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_r} + (-1)^{r-1} x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_r}$$

Corresponding Author: Narges Abbasi, Department of Statistics, Payame Noor University, Shiraz Centre 71365-944, Shiraz, Iran.
 E-mail: abbasi@spnu.ac.ir

where the second summation is over $i_1, \dots, i_{r-1} = 1$ to r with $i_1 \neq i_2 \neq \dots \neq i_{r-1}$ and $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{r-1}$

$U_r(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ has, among all estimators which are unbiased for all F in \mathcal{F} , minimum variance for each F in \mathcal{F} . This follows from theorem 3 of section 1.1 of Lee(1990). U_r does not have a presentation of the role of sample moments on estimation of μ_r , obviously.

In Bernoulli family, the problem has some changes. We know $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is sufficient statistic for p .

$$\hat{p}^m = E\left(\prod_{i=1}^m X_i \mid Y = y\right) = \frac{\binom{n-m}{y-m}}{\binom{n}{y}}; \quad y \geq m, \quad n \geq m.$$

Again, rewrite the r th central moment has the following form

$$\mu_r = \sum_{i=0}^{r-2} \binom{r}{i} (-1)^i p^{i+1} + (-1)^{r-1} (r-1) p^r.$$

So the UMVUE for μ_r equals to

$$U_{p,r} = E(X - \mu)^r = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{y}} \left(\left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{r-2} \binom{r}{i} (-1)^i \binom{n-i-1}{y-i-1} \right\} + (-1)^{r-1} (r-1) \binom{n-r}{y-r} \right). \quad (3)$$

This result is the answer well-known problem whatever it can be find in most inference books [Rohatgi 1976]. But here the main rule of $U_{p,r}$ is identity with U_r and it is simplified to the formula of U_r . In special cases, we can see

$$U_{p,2} = \frac{n}{n-1} M_2,$$

$$U_{p,3} = \frac{n^2}{(n-1)(n-2)} M_3,$$

where M_2 and M_3 are 2th and 3th sample central moments respectively.

In this article, section 2 has review of history of asymptotic distribution of U-statistics, and says about two theorems which we apply to obtain main result. Section 3 deals to asymptotic distribution of $U_{p,r}$.

Asymptotic distribution of U-Statistic:

Consider a symmetric kernel h satisfying $E_p(h^2(X_1, \dots, X_c)) < \infty$.

We shall make use of the function h_c and $\tilde{h}_k \cdot h_k = h$ and for $1 \leq c \leq k-1$,

$$h_c = E_p(h(x_1, \dots, x_c, X_{c+1}, \dots, X_k)).$$

that $\tilde{h} = h - \theta \tilde{h} = h - \theta$ Define $\xi_0^c = 0$ and, for $1 \leq c \leq k-1$

$$\xi_c^c = \text{var}_F(h_c(X_1, \dots, X_c)).$$

The following results were established by Hoeffding (1948).

Theorem 1. If $E_p h^2 < \infty$ and $\xi_1^c > 0$ then $\sqrt{n}(U_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, k^2 \xi_1^c)$.

For the function $\tilde{h}_1(x_1, x_2)$ associated with the kernel $h = h(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ ($k \geq 2$) an operator A on the function space $L_1(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{F})$ was defined by

$$Ag(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h_1(x, y)g(y)dF(y), \quad x \in \mathcal{R}, \quad g \in L_1. \quad (4)$$

That is, A takes a function g into a new function Ag . In connection with any such operator A , the associated eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots$ to be the real number λ (not necessarily distinct) corresponding to the distinct solutions g_1, g_2, \dots of the equation $Ag - \lambda g = 0$.

The following theorem was established (Korolyuk and Borovshich (1994)).

Theorem 2: If $E_r h^2 < \infty$ and $\xi_1 = 0 < \xi_2$, then $n(U_{p,r} - \theta) \rightarrow \frac{k(k-1)}{2} Y$ where Y is a random variable of the form

$$Y = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j (X_j^2 - 1), \quad \text{where } X_1^2, X_2^2, \dots \text{ are independent } X_j^2 \text{ variables.}$$

The essential difference between theorem 1 and theorem 2 in their conditions is about the value of ξ_1 . This point will tend to finding the group of distributions that they satisfy in conditions of theorem 2.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Abbasi (2008) for $r=3,4$ found some distribution which they satisfied on theorem 2. But it is interesting that for even central moments for Bernoulli with $p = \frac{1}{2}$ theorem 2 always occurs. Theorem 3 states this result.

Theorem 3. If X_1, \dots, X_n are iid Bernoulli random variables, $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ and $U_{p,r}$ is given in (3) formula then

- i) $\sqrt{n}(U_{p,r} - \mu_r) \rightarrow N(0, r^2 \xi_1^2); \quad r \in N, p \neq \frac{1}{2},$
- ii) $\sqrt{n}U_{p,r} \rightarrow N(0, 2^{-2r-1}(r-1)^2); \quad r \text{ odd}, p = \frac{1}{2},$
- i) $n(U_{p,r} - 2^{-r}) \rightarrow 2^{-r-1}r(r-3)(X_1^2 - 1); \quad r \text{ even}, p = \frac{1}{2}.$

Proof. The parts of *i* and *ii* will be obtained from theorem 1. Using rules of counting, and change h_r^* to \tilde{h}_r as a symmetric function with respect to arguments. According to section 2

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}_1(x) &= \frac{1}{r} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{r-2} \binom{r}{j} (-1)^j x^{r-j} p^j \right] + \left[x \sum_{j=0}^{r-2} \binom{r}{j} (-1)^j p^j j \right] \\ &\quad + \left[\sum_{j=0}^{r-2} \binom{r}{j} (-1)^j p^{j+1} (r-1-j) \right] + (-1)^{r-1} x p^{r-1} (r-1) - p^r. \end{aligned}$$

Under Bernoulli distribution the variance of \tilde{h}_1 will be obtained

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_1 &= \text{var}(\tilde{h}_1(X)) \\ &= \frac{1}{p(1-p)^r} [-(1-p)^r p^r r + (1-p)^r p - (1-p)^r p^2 \\ &\quad + (-1)^r p^r r + 2(-1)^{r+1} p^{r+1} r - (-1)^r p^{r+1} + (-1)^r p^{r+1} r + (-1)^r p^{r+1}]; \quad p \neq \frac{1}{2}, \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{(r-1)^2}{r^2} 2^{-r-1} & r \text{ is odd}, p = \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & r \text{ is even}, p = \frac{1}{2} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

From the above results, for r even, and $p = \frac{1}{2}$ the ξ_1 equals to zero. That is the conditions of theorem 1 was failed and we must apply to theorem 2. By similar method that for finding the $\tilde{h}_1(x)$ used more calculation to obtain $\tilde{h}_2(x, y)$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}_2(x, y) = & \frac{1}{r(r-1)} \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \binom{r}{j} (-1)^j x^{r-j} p^{r-1-j} \right\} \\ & + \left[\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \binom{r}{j} (-1)^j y^{r-j} p^{r-1-j} \right] \\ & + \left[\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \binom{r}{j} (-1)^j x y p^{r-1-j} \right] \\ & + \left[\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \binom{r}{j} (-1)^j x^{r-j} y p^{r-1-j} \right] + \left[\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \binom{r}{j} (-1)^j y^{r-j} x p^{r-1-j} \right] \\ & + \left[\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \binom{r}{j} (-1)^j x p^{r-1-j} \right] + \left[\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \binom{r}{j} (-1)^j y p^{r-1-j} \right] \\ & + \left[\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \binom{r}{j} (-1)^j p^{r-1-j} (r-1-j)(r-2-j) \right] + (-1)^{r-1} x y p^{r-2} (r-1) - p^r. \end{aligned}$$

Consider $g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^r a_i$ and the system of equation (4).

$$\lambda g(x) = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{h}_2(x, 0) g(0) + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{h}_2(x, 1) g(0); \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}_2(x, 0) = & \frac{1}{r(r-1)} \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{r-2} \binom{r}{j} (-1)^j x^{r-j} p^j (r-1-j) \right\} \\ & + \left[\sum_{j=1}^{r-2} \binom{r}{j} (-1)^j x p^j (r-1-j) \right] + \\ & \left[\sum_{j=0}^{r-3} \binom{r}{j} (-1)^j p^{j+1} (r-1-j)(r-2-j) \right] - p^r, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}_2(x, 1) = & \frac{1}{r(r-1)} \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \binom{r}{j} (-1)^j x^{r-j} p^{r-1-j} \right\} \\ & + \left[\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \binom{r}{j} (-1)^j p^{r-1-j} \right] \\ & + \left[\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \binom{r}{j} (-1)^j x p^{r-1-j} \right] \\ & + \left[\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \binom{r}{j} (-1)^j x^{r-j} p^{r-1-j} \right] + \left[\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \binom{r}{j} (-1)^j x p^{r-1-j} \right] \\ & + \left[\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \binom{r}{j} (-1)^j x p^{r-1-j} \right] + \left[\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \binom{r}{j} (-1)^j p^{r-1-j} (r-1-j)(r-2-j) \right] \\ & + (-1)^{r-1} x p^{r-2} (r-1) - p^r, \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently,

$$2r(r-1)\lambda a_j = \left[\sum_{i=0}^{r-j} \binom{r}{i} (-1)^i p^{r-1-j} \right] a_j + \left[\sum_{i=0}^{r-j} \binom{r}{i} (-1)^i p^{r-1-j} \right] + \left[\sum_{i=0}^{r-j} \binom{r}{i} (-1)^i p^{r-j} \right] \sum_{i=0}^{r-j} a_i; \quad j=0,1,\dots,r-2.$$

$$2r(r-1)\lambda a_r = \left[\sum_{i=0}^r \binom{r}{i} (-1)^i p^{r-1-j} \right] a_r + \left[\sum_{i=0}^r \binom{r}{i} (-1)^i p^{r-1-j} \right] + \left[\sum_{i=0}^r \binom{r}{i} (-1)^i p^{r-j} \right] + \left[\sum_{i=0}^r \binom{r}{i} (-1)^i p^{r-1-j} \right] + r(r-1)(-1)^{r-1} p^{r-1} \sum_{i=0}^r a_i$$

Replace $p = \frac{1}{2}$. The answer of above equation is

$$\lambda = \frac{2^{-r}(r-3)}{(r-1)}.$$

This completes the proof of theorem 3.

REFERENCES

- Abbasi, N., 2008. On Limiting Distributions of UMVUE of Central Moments. *World Applied Sciences Journal*. Forthcoming.
- Halmos, P.R., 1946. The Theory of Unbiased Estimation. *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 17: 34-43.
- Heffernan, P.M., 1996. Unbiased Estimation of Central Moments By Using U-Statistics. *Royal Statistical Society*, B, 59(4): 861-863.
- Hoeffding, W., 1948. A Class of Statistics With asymptotically Normal Distribution. *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 19: 293-325.
- Korolyuk, V.S. and V. Borovskich, Yu, 1994. *Theory of U-Statistics*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht-Boston-London.
- Lee, A.J., 1990. *U-statistics: Theory and Practice*. New York, Dekker,
- Rohatgi, V.K., 1976. *An Introduction to Probability Theory and Mathematics Statistics*. John Wiley and Sons,