



AENSI Journals

Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences

ISSN:1991-8178

Journal home page: www.ajbasweb.com



## Full-Sweep SOR Iterative Method to Solve Space-Fractional Diffusion Equations

<sup>1</sup>Andang Sunarto, <sup>2</sup>Jumat Sulaiman, <sup>3</sup>Azali Saudi

<sup>1</sup>Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Department of Mathematics with Economics, Faculty of Science and Natural Resources, Kota Kinabalu, Sabah, Malaysia.

<sup>2</sup>Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Department of Mathematics with Economics, Faculty of Science and Natural Resources, Kota Kinabalu, Sabah, Malaysia.

<sup>3</sup>Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Department of Informatics, Faculty of Computing and Informatic, Kota Kinabalu, Sabah, Malaysia.

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 30 September 2014

Received in revised form

17 November 2014

Accepted 25 November 2014

Available online 13 December 2014

#### Keywords:

Caputo's Space-Fractional, Implicit finite difference, FSSOR method

### ABSTRACT

**Background:** Space-Fractional diffusion equations are generalizations of classical diffusion equations which are used in modeling superdiffusive problem. In this paper, we examine the effectiveness of the FSSOR iterative method to solve space-fractional diffusion equations based on an unconditionally implicit finite difference scheme. From the discretization of the one dimensional linear space-fractional diffusion equations by using the Caputo's space fractional derivative. We can derive the Caputo's implicit finite difference approximation equation. Then this approximation equations hence will be used to generate the corresponding system of linear equations. Two numerical examples were used to make a comparison between FSGS and FSSOR methods. Based on computational numerical results, it can be concluded that the proposed FSSOR iterative method is superior to the FSGS iterative method

© 2014 AENSI Publisher All rights reserved.

**To Cite This Article:** Andang Sunarto, Jumat Sulaiman, Azali Saudi, Full-Sweep SOR Iterative Method To Solve Space-Fractional Diffusion Equations. *Aust. J. Basic & Appl. Sci.*, 8(24): 153-158, 2014

## INTRODUCTION

In recent years, many researchers are concerned to study of fractional partial differential equations [(Choi *et al.*, 2010), (Tadjeeran *et al.*, 2006), (Mohammad *et al.*, 2014)]. The space-fractional diffusion equations are type of fractional partial differential equations in which many researcher are solved numerically. For getting solution of these problems, many researchers were seeking different ways to solve these problems. For instance (Azizi *et al.*, 2013) used Chebyshev collocation method to discretize space-fractional to obtain a linear system of ordinary differential equations and used the finite difference method for solving the resulting system. Then (Saadatmandi *et al.*, 2011) used tau approach, while (Shen *et al.*, 2005) used insulated ends and explicit finite difference to solve space-fractional diffusion equations. Also (Aslefallah *et al.*, 2014) applied theta scheme to solve space-fractional diffusion equations.

In this paper, we propose a different approach to get numerical solutions of the one-dimensional space-fractional partial differential equation (SFPDE's) iteratively which defined as

$$\frac{\partial U(x, t)}{\partial t} = a(x) \frac{\partial^\beta U(x, t)}{\partial x^\beta} + b(x) \frac{\partial U(x, t)}{\partial x} + c(x)U(x, t) + f(x, t) \quad (1)$$

with initial condition

$$U(x, 0) = f(x), \quad 0 < x < \ell,$$

and boundary conditions

$$U(0, t) = g_0(t), \quad 0 < t \leq T,$$

$$U(\ell, t) = g_1(t), \quad 0 < t \leq T.$$

Before developing the discrete equation of Problem (1), we describe some necessary basic definitions for fractional derivatives which are used in the paper.

**Corresponding Author:** Andang Sunarto, Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Department of Mathematics with Economics, Faculty of Science and Natural Resources, Kota Kinabalu, Sabah, Malaysia.  
Ph: +61-0146779814, E-mail: andang99@gmail.com.

**Definition 1.**(Zhang, 2009) The Riemann-Liouville fractional integral operator,  $J^\beta$  of order- $\beta$  is defined as

$$J^\beta f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^x (x-t)^{\beta-1} f(t) dt, \quad \beta > 0, \quad x > 0 \quad (2)$$

**Definition 2.**(Azizi et al.,2013) The Caputo's fractional partial derivative operator,  $D^\beta$  of order - $\beta$  is defined as

$$D^\beta f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(m-\beta)} \int_0^x \frac{f^{(m)}(t)}{(x-t)^{\beta-m+1}} dt, \quad \beta > 0 \quad (3)$$

with  $m-1 < \beta \leq m$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x > 0$

We have the following properties when  $m-1 < \beta \leq m$ ,  $x > 0$ :

$D^\beta k = 0$ , ( $k$  is a constant),

$$D^\beta x^n = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ and } n < [\beta] \\ \frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n+1-\beta)} x^{n-\beta}, & \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ and } n \geq [\beta] \end{cases}$$

where function  $[\beta]$  to denote the smallest integer greater than or equal to  $\beta$ ,  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  and  $\Gamma(\cdot)$  is the gamma function.

According to previous studies, many studies have been conducted to investigate the effectiveness of the FSSOR method [(Youssef, 2012), (Sun, 2005), (Starke et al., 1991), (Hadjidimos, 2000)]. However, there is no study that have been conducted in literature for solving space-fractional diffusion equation Problem (1) by FSSOR method. This paper make an effort to examine the effectiveness Full-Sweep Successive Over-Relaxation (FSSOR) iterative method which is compared with the Full-Sweep Gauss-Seidel (FSGS) iterative method to solve Problem (1). For the numerical solution of Problem (1), we derive numerical approximation equation based on the Caputo's space-fractional derivative definition with Dirichlet boundary conditions and also consider the non-local fractional derivative operator. Derivation of Caputo's implicit finite difference approximation equation can be categorized as unconditionally stable scheme.

#### Derivation of Caputo's Implicit Finite Difference Approximation:

Assume that  $h = \frac{\ell}{k}$ ,  $k$  is positive integer and using second order approximation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^\beta U(x_i, t_n)}{\partial x^\beta} &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\beta)} \int_0^{t_n} \frac{\partial^2 U(x_i, s)}{\partial x^2} (t_n - s)^{1-\beta} \partial s \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\beta)} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \int_{j_h}^{(j+1)h} \left( \frac{U_{i-j+1,n} - 2U_{i-j,n} + U_{i-j-1,n}}{h^2} \right) (nh - s)^\beta \partial s \\ &= \frac{h^{-\beta}}{\Gamma(3-\beta)} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} (U_{i-j+1,n} - 2U_{i-j,n} + U_{i-j-1,n}) \left( (j+1)^{2-\beta} - j^{2-\beta} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Let us define

$$\sigma_{\beta,h} = \frac{h^{-\beta}}{\Gamma(3-\beta)}$$

and

$$g_j^\beta = (j+1)^{2-\beta} - j^{2-\beta}$$

then the discrete approximation of Eq. (4)

$$\frac{\partial^\beta U(x_i, t_n)}{\partial x^\beta} = \sigma_{\beta,h} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} g_j^\beta (U_{i-j+1,n} - 2U_{i-j,n} + U_{i-j-1,n}) + O(i)$$

Now we approximate Problem (1) by using Caputo's implicit finite difference approximation :

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(U_{i,n} - U_{i,n-1}) &= a_i \sigma_{\beta,h} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} g_j^\beta (U_{i-j+1,n} - 2U_{i-j,n} + U_{i-j-1,n}) + b_i \frac{(U_{i+1,n} - U_{i-1,n})}{2h} \\ &+ C_i U_{i,n} + f_{i,n} \end{aligned}$$



where  $D$ ,  $L$ , and  $V$  are diagonal, lower triangular and upper triangular matrices respectively. Then, Sor iterative method can be defined generally as

$$\tilde{U}^{(k+1)} = (D - \omega L)^{-1} [\omega V + (1 - \omega)D] \tilde{U}^{(k)} + (D - \omega L)^{-1} f \quad (8)$$

where  $\tilde{U}^{(k)}$  represents an unknown vector at  $k^{\text{th}}$  iteration. The application of the FSSOR method can be described in Algorithm 1.

**Algorithm 1: FSSOR Method:**

- i. Initialize  $\tilde{U} \leftarrow 0$  and  $\varepsilon \leftarrow 10^{-10}$
- ii. For  $i=1,2,\dots,n-1$  and  $j=1,2,\dots,m-1$  assign
 
$$\tilde{U}^{(k+1)} = (D - \omega L)^{-1} [\omega V + (1 - \omega)D] \tilde{U}^{(k)} + (D - \omega L)^{-1} f$$
- iii. Convergence test. If the convergence criterion i.e
 
$$\|\tilde{U}^{(k+1)} - \tilde{U}^{(k)}\| \leq \varepsilon = 10^{-10}$$
 is satisfied, go step (iv)
 

Otherwise go back to step (ii)
- iv. Display approximate solutions

**Numerical Experiment:**

In this section, we have selected two examples of the space-fractional diffusion equations to verify the effectiveness of the Full-Sweep Gauss-Seidel (FSGS) and Full-Sweep Successive Over-Relaxation (FSSOR) iterative methods. In comparison, three criteria will be considered for both iterative methods such as number iterations, the execution time (seconds) and maximum error at three different values of  $\beta=1.2$ ,  $\beta=1.5$  and  $\beta=1.8$ . During the implementation of the point iterations, the convergence test considered the tolerance error,  $\varepsilon = 10^{-10}$ .

**Examples 1 (Azizi *et al.*, 2013):**

Let us consider the following space-fractional initial boundary value problem

$$\frac{\partial U(x, t)}{\partial t} = d(x) \frac{\partial^{1.5} U(x, t)}{\partial x^{1.5}} + p(x, t), \quad (9)$$

On finite domain  $0 < x < 1$ , with the diffusion coefficient  $d(x) = \Gamma(1.5)x^{0.5}$ ,

the source function  $p(x, t) = (x^2 + 1)\cos(t+1) - 2x\sin(t+1)$ ,

with the initial condition  $U(x, 0) = (x^2 + 1)\sin(1)$

and the boundary conditions  $U(0, t) = \sin(t+1)$ ,  $U(1, t) = 2\sin(t+1)$ , for  $t > 0$ .

The Exact solution of this problem is  $U(x, t) = (x^2 + 1)\sin(t+1)$

**Examples 2 (Azizi *et al.*, 2013) :**

Let us consider the following space-fractional initial boundary value problem

$$\frac{\partial U(x, t)}{\partial t} = \Gamma(1.2)x^{1.8} \frac{\partial^{1.8} U(x, t)}{\partial x^{1.8}} + 3x^2(2x - 1)e^{-t}, \quad (10)$$

with the initial condition

$U(x, 0) = x^2 - x^3$ , and zero Dirichlet conditions

The exact solution of this problem is  $U(x, t) = x^2(1 - x)e^{-t}$

All numerical results for problems (9) and (10), obtained from application of FSGS and FSSOR iterative methods are recorded in Tables 1 and 2 by using the different value of mesh size,  $M=128, 256, 512, 1024$  and 2048.

**Table 1:** Comparison of number iterations, the execution time ( seconds) and maximum errors for the iterative methods using example at  $\beta=1.2, 1.5, 1.8$

M	Method	$\beta = 1.2$			$\beta = 1.5$			$\beta = 1.8$		
		K	Time	Max Error	K	Time	Max Error	K	Time	Max Error
128	FSGS	62	1.34	2.06e-02	205	4.15	1.02e-02	757	15.11	5.28e-02
	FSSOR	25	0.12	2.06e-02	95	1.56	1.01e-02	311	6.01	5.28e-02
256	FSGS	73	5.66	9.71e-02	299	23.20	3.23e-02	1361	107.33	6.63e-02

	FSSOR	30	2.22	9.71e-02	112	9.25	3.22e-02	432	49.23	6.63e-02
512	FSGS	84	26.18	1.79e-01	430	132.51	4.26e-02	2411	755.31	5.71e-02
	FSSOR	34	9.21	1.79e-01	180	55.38	4.26e-02	941	226.24	5.71e-02
1024	FSGS	96	120.29	2.42e-01	613	755.97	4.82e-02	4221	5259.97	5.23e-02
	FSSOR	42	60.08	2.42e-01	211	330.55	4.82e-02	1095	1201.30	5.23e-02
2048	FSGS	109	577.00	2.85e-01	866	4348.68	5.09e-02	7322	4979.18	5.09e-02
	FSSOR	49	202.34	2.85e-01	396	1096.00	5.08e-02	2625	1121.10	5.09e-02

**Table 2:** Comparison of number iterations, the execution time ( seconds) and maximum errors for the iterative methods using example at  $\beta = 1.2, 1.5, 1.8$

M	Method	$\beta = 1.2$			$\beta = 1.5$			$\beta = 1.8$		
		K	Time	Max Error	K	Time	Max Error	K	Time	Max Error
128	FSGS	48	1.19	1.82e-01	150	3.77	6.01e-02	473	11.36	6.31e-03
	FSSOR	19	0.28	1.82e-01	85	1.23	6.01e-02	189	4.95	6.31e-03
256	FSGS	57	5.45	1.77e-01	225	21.61	7.64e-02	890	85.00	2.88e-02
	FSSOR	23	2.01	1.77e-01	98	9.11	7.64e-02	394	39.25	2.88e-02
512	FSGS	67	25.31	1.52e-01	331	124.05	8.70e-02	1635	619.64	5.02e-02
	FSSOR	27	9.15	1.52e-01	122	54.75	8.70e-02	461	212.10	5.02e-02
1024	FSGS	77	115.89	1.20e-01	477	714.51	9.00e-02	2937	4448.83	6.75e-02
	FSSOR	33	52.00	1.20e-01	190	320.00	9.00e-02	983	1123.03	6.75e-02
2048	FSGS	88	557.00	1.04e-01	679	4259.31	9.25e-02	5171	55209.81	7.92e-02
	FSSOR	39	198.22	1.04e-01	230	1232.00	9.25e-02	2126	19807.23	7.92e-02

### Conclusion:

In this paper we proposed a Caputo's implicit finite difference and scheme FSSOR method to solve the space-fractional diffusion equations. We have applied the formulation of the Caputo's finite difference equations to generate a corresponding linear system. Then for solving the linear system, the formulation of FSGS and FSSOR iterative methods have been constructed based on the Caputo's derivative operator. From observation of all experimental results by imposing the FSGS and FSSOR iterative methods, it can be also observed in tables 1 and 2 that the number of iterations and the execution time for FSSOR iterative method have been declined tremendously as compared with FSGS iterative method. This is due to the implementations of FSSOR iterative method have been accelerated by using the optimal value of the weighted parameter,  $\omega$ . According to the accuracy and of both iterative methods, it can be concluded that their numerical solutions are in good agreement.

### REFERENCES

- Aslefallah, M. and D. Rostamy, 2014. A Numerical Scheme for Solving Space-Fractional Equation by Finite Difference Theta-Method. International Journal of Advances in Applied Mathematics and Mechanics, pp: 1-9.
- Azizi, H. and G.B. Loghmani, 2013. Numerical Approximation for Space-Fractional Diffusion Equations via Chebyshev Finite Difference Method. Journal of Fractional and Applications, pp: 303-311.
- Choi, H.W., S.K. Chung and Y.J. Lee, 2010. Numerical Solutions for Space-Fractional Dispersion Equations with Nonlinear Source Terms. Bull.Korean Math.Soc., pp: 1225-1234.
- Evans, D.J., 1985. Group Explicit Iterative methods for solving large linear systems. International Journal Computer Maths, 17: 81-108.
- Hackbusch, W., 1995. Iterative Solution of Large Sparse Systems of Equations. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Hadjidimos, A., 2000. Successive Over-relaxations and Relative Methods. Journal Of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 123: 177-199.
- Othman, M. and A.R. Abdullah, 2000. An Efficient Four Points Modified Explicit Group Poisson Solver. International Journal Computer Mathematics, 76: 203-217.
- Saad, Y., 1996. Iterative method for sparse linear systems. Boston: International Thomas Publishing.
- Saadatmandi, A. and M. Dehghan, 2011. A Tau Approach for Solution of The Space-Fractional Diffusion Equation. Journal of Computer and Mathematics with Applications, 62: 1135-1142.
- Shen, S. and F. Liu, 2005. Error Analysis of an Explicit Finite Difference Approximation for The Space-Fractional Diffusion Equation with Insulated Ends. Journal ANZIAM, 46(E): C871-C878.
- Starke, G. and W. Niethammer, 1991. SOR for  $AX - XB = C$ . Journal of Linear Algebra and Its Applications, 154: 355- 375.
- Sun, Li-ying, 2005. A Comparison Theorem for The SOR Iterative Method. Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 181: 336-341.
- Tadjeran, C. and M.M. Meerschaert, 2007. A Second-order Accurate Numerical Method for The Two-Dimensional Fractional Diffusion Equation. Journal of Computational Physics, 220: 813-823.

Young, D.M., 1954. Iterative Methods for Solving Partial Difference Equations of Elliptic Type. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 76: 92-111.

Young, D.M., 1971. *Iterative Solution of large Linear Systems*. London: Academic Press.

Young, D.M., 1972. Second-Degree Iterative Methods for The Solution of Large Linear Systems. *Journal of Approximation Theory*, 5: 37-148.

Yousif, W.S. and D.J. Evans, 1995. Explicit De-Coupled Group Iterative Methods and Their Implementations. *Parallel Algorithms and Applications*, 7: 53-71.

Youssef, I.K., 2012. On The Successive Over-relaxation Method. *Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, 2: 176-184.

Zhang, Y., 2009. A Finite Difference Method For Fractional Partial Differential Equation. *Applied Mathematics And Computation*, 215: 524-529.